Visceral Adiposity, Sexual Dimorphism, and the Built Environment

Reconsidering the Role of Clothing in Human Fat Distribution

Abstract

Sexual dimorphism in human fat distribution is well documented, with men tending toward greater visceral adiposity and women more commonly storing fat subcutaneously, particularly in gluteofemoral regions. These patterns are primarily shaped by hormonal and biological mechanisms. However, growing attention has been directed toward the broader environmental conditions that influence metabolic outcomes.

This paper proposes that clothing—an everyday, persistent, and culturally structured element of the human environment—may function as a secondary modifier of physiological and behavioural factors associated with visceral adiposity. Drawing on established research in thermoregulation, biomechanics, endocrinology, and behavioural science, the analysis considers how clothing affects heat exchange, movement comfort, posture, breathing mechanics, and low-level stress responses. Central to this discussion is the concept of clothing as a micro-environment that interacts continuously with the body.

The paper also examines how gendered clothing norms create different environmental exposures for men and women. Enclosed lower-body garments commonly worn by men may contribute to localised heat retention and friction, while compression-based garments and undergarments frequently worn by women may influence posture, respiratory patterns, and behavioural choices. These influences are not presented as primary drivers of visceral adiposity but as subtle, persistent modifiers operating within a multi-factorial system.

Additional environmental considerations—including clothing colour, climate interaction, and the relationship between digestive processes and external conditions—are explored. Practical implications include the potential value of looser, better-ventilated garments and a reconsideration of restrictive clothing practices where appropriate.

This work is written from the perspective of a technology leader applying systems-level reasoning, informed in part by personal experience with pre-diabetes. While AI tools assisted in drafting, all sources have been independently reviewed, and the conceptual framing reflects the author's own synthesis. The paper concludes that clothing should be recognised as a modest but meaningful component of the wider environmental

context influencing metabolic health, and as a factor worthy of further investigation.

---

1. Introduction

1.1 The Evolving Understanding of Metabolic Health

The global rise in obesity and metabolic disease represents one of the most significant health challenges of the modern era. Traditional explanatory models have focused on the relationship between caloric intake and energy expenditure, often summarised through the principle of energy balance (Hall et al., 2012). Additional contributions from genetic predisposition and hormonal regulation have further refined this framework (Després, 2012).

While these models remain foundational, they are increasingly recognised as incomplete. In particular, they struggle to account for the rapid and widespread changes in metabolic health observed across populations with differing lifestyles and environments. This has led to the development of broader frameworks that emphasise the role of **environmental determinants of health** (Sallis and Glanz, 2009; Booth et al., 2012).

Within this perspective, human physiology is understood not as an isolated system but as one continuously shaped by external conditions. Factors such as urban design, occupational structure, food availability, and technological systems have all been identified as influential. However, one of the most immediate and persistent environmental factors—clothing—has received comparatively little systematic attention.

1.2 Clothing as a Continuous Environmental Interface

Clothing occupies a unique position within the human environment. Unlike many environmental factors, which are external and variable, clothing is:

- continuously worn during waking hours
- often present during sleep
- in direct contact with the body
- adaptable yet constrained by cultural norms

As such, it forms a **micro-environment** that directly influences the conditions experienced by the body.

This micro-environment affects:

- heat exchange between the body and the external environment
- moisture retention and evaporation
- mechanical interaction with the skin and joints
- sensory perception and comfort

(Gavin, 2003; Pascoe, Bellingar and McCluskey, 1994)

Despite these influences, clothing is rarely considered within physiological models of health. It is typically regarded as a matter of aesthetics, culture, or identity, rather than as a functional component of the environment.

This paper challenges that assumption by proposing that clothing should be understood as a **physiologically relevant environmental layer**.

1.3 The Clothing Microclimate

A key concept in understanding the physiological role of clothing is the **clothing microclimate**, defined as the layer of air between the skin and the garment. The temperature, humidity, and airflow within this microclimate are critical determinants of thermal comfort and physiological response.

Garment characteristics such as fit, fabric, layering, and colour influence this microclimate by affecting:

- insulation
- ventilation
- evaporative capacity

(Farinatti et al., 2013)

For example:

- tight garments tend to trap heat and moisture
- loose garments facilitate airflow and cooling

These effects are not uniform across the body. Certain regions, including the groin, lower abdomen, and chest, are particularly susceptible to heat accumulation due to limited airflow.

Thus, clothing functions as an **active regulator of the body's immediate environment**, rather than a passive covering.

1.4 Sexual Dimorphism in Adipose Tissue Distribution

One of the most consistent findings in human physiology is the difference in fat distribution between men and women. Men are more likely to accumulate fat centrally, particularly within the visceral compartment, while women more commonly store fat subcutaneously in peripheral regions such as the hips and thighs (Karastergiou et al., 2012; Palmer and Clegg, 2015).

This distinction is clinically significant because visceral adipose tissue is strongly associated with:

- insulin resistance
- type 2 diabetes
- cardiovascular disease

(Després, 2012)

By contrast, peripheral subcutaneous fat has been associated with more favourable metabolic profiles (Manolopoulos et al., 2010).

The primary drivers of these differences are hormonal, particularly the influence of testosterone and oestrogen on adipocyte function and lipid metabolism.

1.5 Environmental Modulation of Biological Systems

While biological factors are dominant, they do not operate independently of the environment. Environmental conditions may influence:

- energy expenditure
- movement patterns
- thermal balance
- stress responses

These influences may, in turn, affect the context in which fat distribution develops.

This paper adopts a **systems-based perspective**, in which metabolic outcomes are understood as emerging from the interaction between:

- biological processes
- behavioural patterns
- environmental conditions

Within this framework, clothing becomes a relevant variable.

1.6 Research Question and Hypothesis

The central research question addressed in this paper is:

Does clothing, as a persistent and gendered environmental factor, contribute indirectly to conditions associated with visceral adiposity?

The working hypothesis is that:

Clothing does not directly determine fat distribution but acts as a secondary environmental modifier influencing thermoregulation, movement, behaviour, and stress pathways over time.

1.7 Clarifying Scope and Avoiding Misinterpretation

It is important to distinguish this hypothesis from more simplistic or unsupported claims.

There is no credible evidence that:

- tight clothing mechanically redistributes fat into the visceral compartment
- specific garments directly cause obesity

The anatomical separation of fat depots makes such mechanisms implausible (Ibrahim, 2010).

Instead, the focus is on **indirect and cumulative effects**, including:

- reduced spontaneous movement
- altered thermal conditions
- behavioural adaptation
- low-level physiological stress

1.8 Clothing as a Gendered Environmental System

Clothing is not only a physiological factor but also a cultural one. Norms regarding dress differ systematically between men and women, resulting in distinct patterns of environmental exposure.

For example:

- men are typically expected to wear enclosed lower-body garments

- women are more likely to wear compressive or form-fitting clothing

(Criado Perez, 2019)

These differences may influence:

- thermal conditions
- movement patterns
- behavioural responses

Thus, clothing may be understood as part of a **gendered environmental system**, in which cultural norms shape the physical conditions experienced by the body.

1.9 The Importance of Persistence

A defining characteristic of clothing is its persistence. It is worn:

- daily
- for extended periods
- across many years

Even small effects, when applied continuously, may accumulate over time.

This aligns with contemporary models of obesity, which emphasise the role of **small, sustained imbalances in energy balance** (Hall et al., 2012).

1.10 Relevance to Contemporary Lifestyles

Modern lifestyles amplify the potential significance of clothing as an environmental factor. Key features include:

- prolonged sitting
- reduced occupational activity
- widespread climate control

(Church et al., 2011; Owen et al., 2010)

In such contexts, even minor barriers to movement or thermal comfort may have disproportionate effects.

1.11 Structure of the Paper

The paper is structured as follows:

- **Part 2**: Thermoregulation, clothing systems, and metabolic environment
- **Part 3**: Biomechanics and behavioural constraint
- **Part 4**: Hormonal regulation, stress pathways, and respiration
- **Part 5**: Environmental systems, gender inequality, and climate context
- **Part 6**: Integrated theoretical synthesis
- **Part 7**: Practical recommendations and applications

1.12 Summary of Introduction

Clothing is:

- a continuous environmental factor
- physiologically relevant
- culturally structured
- modifiable

While not a primary determinant of visceral adiposity, it may influence:

- thermal regulation
- movement patterns
- behavioural responses

Its persistence makes it a meaningful component of the broader environmental system shaping metabolic health.

Section 2: Clothing, Thermoregulation, and the Metabolic Environment of the Body

---

2.1 Thermoregulation and Energy Expenditure

Thermoregulation is a fundamental physiological process through which the human body maintains internal temperature within a narrow range compatible with enzymatic function and cellular stability. In healthy adults, core temperature is typically regulated between approximately 36.5°C and 37.5°C, with deviations triggering coordinated responses involving vasodilation, vasoconstriction, sweating, and shivering (Romanovsky, 2007).

These processes are intrinsically linked to **energy expenditure**. In cooler environments, metabolic rate increases through both shivering and non-shivering thermogenesis, the latter mediated in part by brown adipose tissue activation (Cypess et al., 2009). In warmer environments, thermogenic demand is reduced, and the body relies on heat dissipation mechanisms.

The concept of the **thermoneutral zone** is central to this relationship. It describes the range of environmental temperatures within which minimal metabolic energy is required to maintain thermal balance (Speakman and Westerterp, 2010). When individuals remain within this zone for extended periods, thermogenic energy expenditure is reduced.

Modern environments increasingly maintain individuals within thermoneutral conditions through:

- climate-controlled indoor spaces
- reduced environmental variability
- adaptive clothing

While this enhances comfort, it may reduce baseline energy expenditure in a persistent manner.

2.2 Clothing as a Thermoregulatory Interface

Clothing functions as the primary interface between the body and its immediate environment, influencing heat exchange through:

- insulation
- airflow
- moisture evaporation

(Gavin, 2003; Pascoe, Bellingar and McCluskey, 1994)

The **clothing microclimate**—the layer of air between the skin and the garment—is central to this interaction. Its temperature and humidity determine both physiological response and perceived comfort.

Garment design strongly affects this microclimate:

- **tight garments** trap heat and moisture

- **loose garments** promote airflow and cooling

These effects are particularly pronounced in areas with limited natural ventilation, including:

- the groin
- the lower abdomen
- the chest

Thus, clothing should be understood as an **active environmental regulator**, not merely a passive covering.

2.2.1 Clothing Colour and Radiative Heat Transfer

Clothing colour influences thermal load through interaction with solar radiation. Darker colours absorb more radiant energy, whereas lighter colours reflect more, potentially reducing surface temperature.

However, the effect of colour depends on:

- fabric structure
- garment fit
- airflow
- environmental conditions

In loose garments, absorbed heat may dissipate before reaching the skin. In tight garments, it may be transmitted more directly.

Accordingly, colour is best understood as a **secondary thermoregulatory factor**, relevant primarily in outdoor environments.

2.3 Localised Thermal Environments

Although core temperature is tightly regulated, localised thermal conditions vary significantly. Clothing can create region-specific micro-environments with distinct temperature and humidity profiles.

Evidence from andrological studies shows that tight undergarments increase scrotal temperature compared with looser alternatives (Jung et al., 2005; Munkelwitz and Gilbert, 1998). While these findings relate to reproductive physiology, they demonstrate a broader principle:

Clothing can create localised thermal environments with measurable effects.

Such conditions may lead to:

- sweating
- moisture accumulation
- irritation
- discomfort

These effects may influence behaviour, particularly movement.

2.4 Thermal Comfort and Behaviour

Thermal discomfort is a powerful determinant of behaviour. When individuals experience heat, humidity, or irritation, they may:

- reduce movement
- avoid exertion
- adopt sedentary postures

Clothing has been shown to influence perceived exertion during activity (Farinatti et al., 2013).

This behavioural response is significant because it affects **non-exercise activity thermogenesis (NEAT)**.

2.5 Non-Exercise Activity Thermogenesis (NEAT)

NEAT includes all spontaneous activity outside structured exercise, including:

- walking
- standing
- posture changes

It is a major contributor to daily energy expenditure and varies widely between individuals (Levine, 2004).

Even modest reductions in NEAT—on the order of 100–200 kcal per day—may contribute to a sustained positive energy balance and gradual weight gain over time (Hall et al., 2012).

Clothing may influence NEAT indirectly by altering:

- comfort
- ease of movement
- behavioural choices

2.6 Clothing and Sedentary Behaviour

Modern lifestyles are characterised by prolonged sitting and reduced movement (Church et al., 2011; Owen et al., 2010).

Clothing interacts with this environment by:

- discouraging movement through discomfort
- increasing effort required for posture change
- reinforcing sedentary behaviour

Thus, clothing acts as a **modifying factor within an already sedentary system**.

2.7 Gendered Thermal Exposure

Clothing norms create distinct thermal environments.

For men:

- enclosed garments reduce ventilation
- heat accumulation may occur

For women:

- compression alters heat distribution
- ventilation varies

These differences are culturally determined and represent **gendered environmental exposure**.

2.8 Flatulence, Fermentation, and Behavioural Norms

Flatulence, while often treated humorously, reflects measurable physiological processes, specifically the fermentation of undigested substrates by the gut microbiota.

Gas production arises from bacterial metabolism of carbohydrates that are not absorbed in the small intestine, producing hydrogen, methane, and carbon dioxide (Levitt et al., 1998; Suarez et al., 1997).

From a physiological perspective:

Flatulence is an indirect indicator of microbial fermentation rather than a direct measure of digestive efficiency.

2.8.1 Measurement and Variability

Measurement of flatulence is inherently difficult. Most studies rely on self-reporting, which is unreliable.

Observational datasets such as illustrate:

- wide variability between individuals
- strong dietary effects
- limitations of subjective reporting

While not formal scientific datasets, they highlight a real measurement gap.

2.8.2 Fermentation and Energy Balance

Fermentation produces short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), which may be absorbed and contribute to energy balance (Turnbaugh et al., 2006).

Thus:

- some energy is **gained**
- some is **lost as gas**

The balance varies between individuals.

2.8.3 Gendered Behavioural Norms

In addition to physiological variation, flatulence is shaped by **social behaviour**.

In many cultural contexts:

- **women are expected to suppress or conceal flatulence**
- **men are generally subject to fewer such constraints**

This difference is rarely examined in scientific literature but represents a form of **gendered behavioural conditioning**.

The suppression of flatulence may involve:

- conscious restraint
- altered posture
- reduced abdominal relaxation

While the physiological impact of such suppression is not well established, it may plausibly influence:

- comfort
- abdominal pressure
- behavioural patterns

At a minimum, it reflects another dimension in which:

the bodily experience of everyday physiological processes differs between genders due to social expectations.

2.8.4 Conceptual Significance

The inclusion of flatulence within this analysis serves to illustrate a broader principle:

- digestion is influenced by microbiome activity
- microbiome activity varies between individuals
- behaviour and environment shape physiological expression

Even a seemingly trivial phenomenon highlights that:

the human body is not an isolated system but one embedded within behavioural and environmental contexts.

2.9 Summary of Section 2

Clothing influences the metabolic environment through:

- thermoregulation
- localised heat distribution
- behavioural responses

- indirect digestive context

These effects are:

- subtle
- persistent
- cumulative

While clothing does not directly determine visceral adiposity, it contributes to the **conditions under which metabolic processes occur**.

Section 3: Clothing, Biomechanics, and Behavioural Constraint

---

3.1 Movement as a Determinant of Metabolic Health

Human energy expenditure is not determined solely by structured exercise but by the cumulative total of daily movement. A substantial proportion of this expenditure arises from low-intensity, habitual activity, including walking, standing, shifting posture, and spontaneous movement. These activities are collectively described as **non-exercise activity thermogenesis (NEAT)** (Levine, 2004).

NEAT varies widely between individuals and can account for several hundred kilocalories per day. Importantly, it is highly sensitive to environmental and behavioural factors. Even small reductions in daily movement may contribute to long-term positive energy balance (Hall et al., 2012).

Modern lifestyles have substantially reduced NEAT through:

- sedentary occupations
- increased reliance on digital technologies
- reduced need for physical labour

(Church et al., 2011; Owen et al., 2010)

Within this context, clothing represents an additional, often overlooked factor that may influence how movement occurs.

3.2 Clothing as a Biomechanical Constraint System

From a biomechanical perspective, clothing can be understood as an **external constraint system** acting upon the body. Unlike intrinsic constraints (such as joint structure or muscle strength), clothing imposes limitations through:

- fabric tension
- seam placement
- garment structure
- compression forces

(Glickman-Weiss et al., 1997)

These constraints may influence:

- joint range of motion
- movement efficiency
- posture
- muscle activation

Importantly, clothing rarely prevents movement entirely. Instead, it modifies the **ease, comfort, and naturalness of movement**, leading to subtle but persistent changes in behaviour.

3.3 Range of Motion and Joint Function

Efficient movement requires joints to operate through their natural range of motion. Clothing that is tight or restrictive—particularly around the hips, knees, and waist—may limit:

- hip flexion and extension
- knee bending
- pelvic rotation

These limitations may not be consciously perceived but can result in:

- reduced stride length
- altered gait mechanics
- decreased movement amplitude

Over time, such changes may reduce the mechanical work performed during everyday activity.

3.4 Gait Modification and Movement Efficiency

Walking is one of the most common forms of human movement and a key contributor to NEAT. Its efficiency depends on coordinated joint movement, stride length, and cadence.

Clothing-induced constraints may lead to:

- shorter steps
- reduced hip extension
- increased caution in movement

These changes may reduce energy expenditure per unit of movement. While increased efficiency might appear beneficial, in the context of reduced total movement, it may contribute to lower overall energy expenditure.

Malina (2007) notes that small alterations in gait, when repeated over thousands of steps, may have cumulative energetic consequences.

3.5 Behavioural Constraint: Beyond Mechanical Limitation

A crucial distinction must be made between **mechanical constraint** and **behavioural constraint**.

- Mechanical constraint: physical restriction of movement
- Behavioural constraint: voluntary modification of behaviour in response to clothing

Clothing often operates primarily through behavioural constraint. Individuals adjust their actions based on:

- comfort
- perceived risk (e.g., exposure)
- social expectations

Examples include:

- avoiding bending in tight garments
- limiting stride in restrictive clothing
- hesitating to sit in unstable garments

- reducing spontaneous movement due to discomfort

These adaptations may occur unconsciously but have measurable effects on activity levels.

3.6 Decision-Making in Everyday Movement

Movement involves continuous decision-making. At any given moment, individuals decide whether to:

- stand or sit
- walk or remain stationary
- move freely or cautiously

Clothing influences these decisions by altering:

- perceived effort
- comfort
- social acceptability

For example:

- restrictive clothing may discourage walking
- unstable garments may discourage sitting
- uncomfortable clothing may encourage inactivity

Thus, clothing shapes not only how movement is performed but whether it is performed at all.

3.7 Gendered Patterns of Movement Constraint

Clothing-related constraints differ systematically between men and women due to cultural norms.

3.7.1 Women: Compression, Instability, and Behavioural Vigilance

Women's clothing frequently involves:

- tighter fits
- compressive fabrics

- shorter or more exposure-sensitive designs

These characteristics may result in:

- reduced stride length
- altered hip mechanics
- increased behavioural vigilance

A particularly illustrative case is that of very short skirts. Where there is concern about exposure, a woman may:

- take shorter steps
- avoid rapid or wide movements
- hesitate to sit or bend
- remain standing when sitting would otherwise be natural

These behavioural adaptations may significantly reduce spontaneous movement and, consequently, NEAT.

Importantly, these constraints are not purely mechanical. They arise from the interaction between:

- garment design
- social expectations
- perceived risk

3.7.2 Women: Tight Lower-Body Garments

Tight garments such as jeans and leggings may:

- restrict hip movement
- increase abdominal pressure
- reduce comfort during activity

These effects may lead to:

- reduced walking speed
- decreased movement frequency
- increased sedentary behaviour

3.7.3 Men: Enclosure, Heat, and Friction

Men's clothing typically involves:

- enclosed lower-body garments
- layered fabrics
- reduced ventilation

This may result in:

- overheating in the groin and thigh region
- chafing and irritation
- discomfort during prolonged movement

These factors may discourage physical activity, particularly in warm conditions.

3.7.4 Comparative Analysis

While the mechanisms differ:

- women experience constraint through **compression and behavioural vigilance**
- men experience constraint through **enclosure and thermal discomfort**

Both pathways may lead to:

reduced spontaneous movement and lower overall energy expenditure

---

3.8 Posture, Muscle Engagement, and Passive Support

Clothing may influence posture and muscular engagement.

Restrictive garments can:

- limit spinal movement
- alter pelvic alignment
- reduce activation of stabilising muscles

Over time, this may lead to:

- increased reliance on passive support structures
- reduced muscular engagement during routine tasks

Hamilton et al. (2008) describe how reduced muscle activity is associated with adverse metabolic outcomes, even in the absence of changes in overall activity levels.

3.9 The Concept of Micro-Constraints

A central concept emerging from this analysis is that of **micro-constraints**.

Clothing rarely imposes large, obvious restrictions. Instead, it introduces:

- small reductions in comfort
- slight limitations in movement
- minor behavioural adjustments

Individually, these effects are negligible. However, they are:

- continuous
- cumulative
- experienced daily

Over time, they may contribute to:

- reduced total movement
- increased sedentary behaviour
- lower energy expenditure

This aligns with models of obesity based on small, sustained imbalances in energy balance (Hall et al., 2012).

3.10 Interaction with Sedentary Environments

The effects of clothing are amplified in sedentary environments.

In contexts where individuals already:

- sit for prolonged periods
- perform minimal physical labour

additional barriers to movement may have disproportionate effects.

For example:

- discomfort while seated may discourage standing
- restrictive clothing may make transitions less appealing

- thermal discomfort may reinforce inactivity

Thus, clothing acts as a **reinforcing factor within a broader behavioural system**.

3.11 Movement Ecology: A Systems Perspective

Movement can be understood within an ecological framework influenced by:

- physical capability
- environmental conditions
- behavioural decisions

Clothing interacts with all three:

- it modifies physical capability (range of motion)
- it alters environmental conditions (thermal, tactile)
- it influences behavioural choices (comfort, perception)

Thus, clothing forms part of the **movement ecology** of the human body.

3.12 Implications for Energy Balance

The cumulative effect of clothing-related constraints may influence energy balance through:

- reduced NEAT
- altered movement efficiency
- increased sedentary behaviour

While these effects are likely modest individually, their persistence makes them relevant.

3.13 Limitations

It is important to acknowledge:

- limited direct experimental evidence
- difficulty isolating clothing effects
- variability between individuals

Accordingly:

Clothing should be considered a contributing environmental factor, not a primary cause.

3.14 Summary of Section 3

Clothing influences movement through:

- mechanical constraint
- behavioural adaptation
- posture and muscle engagement

These effects are:

- subtle
- persistent
- cumulative

They contribute to the broader environmental conditions under which metabolic outcomes develop.

Section 4: Hormonal Regulation, Stress Pathways, and Respiratory Effects

---

4.1 Hormonal Regulation and Metabolic Health

Human metabolic health is regulated by a complex network of hormonal systems that govern energy balance, fat distribution, and physiological adaptation. Among these, the roles of insulin, cortisol, and sex hormones are particularly significant in determining patterns of adipose tissue accumulation (Després, 2012; Björntorp, 2001).

Visceral adiposity is strongly associated with dysregulation in these systems. Elevated cortisol levels, impaired insulin sensitivity, and hormonal imbalances are all linked to increased central fat accumulation (Rosmond, 2005; Bjorntorp, 1997).

While these processes are often studied in isolation, they are influenced by environmental and behavioural conditions, including:

- stress exposure
- movement patterns

- thermal environment
- respiratory function

Clothing, as a continuous environmental factor, may interact with these systems indirectly.

4.2 Cortisol and the Stress Response

Cortisol is a glucocorticoid hormone released by the adrenal glands in response to stress. It plays a critical role in:

- glucose metabolism
- immune regulation
- energy mobilisation

However, chronic elevation of cortisol has been associated with:

- increased visceral fat deposition
- insulin resistance
- metabolic syndrome

(Björntorp, 2001; Rosmond, 2005)

The relationship between cortisol and visceral adiposity is well established. Individuals with prolonged stress exposure often exhibit greater central fat accumulation, even in the absence of significant changes in total body weight.

4.3 Low-Level Stressors and Environmental Influence

Stress is not limited to acute psychological events. It may also arise from **low-level, persistent environmental factors**, including:

- discomfort
- thermal irritation
- restricted movement
- social pressure

These factors may not be consciously recognised as stress but can contribute to sustained activation of physiological stress pathways (Cohen, Janicki-Deverts and Miller, 2007).

Clothing may contribute to such low-level stress through:

- physical discomfort (e.g., tightness, chafing)
- thermal burden (e.g., overheating)
- behavioural restriction
- social expectations

4.4 Clothing-Induced Discomfort as a Stressor

Clothing-related discomfort is often normalised and therefore overlooked. However, persistent discomfort may contribute to a background level of physiological stress.

Examples include:

- tight waistbands increasing abdominal pressure
- restrictive garments limiting movement
- overheating in enclosed clothing
- irritation from friction or poor ventilation

While each of these factors may appear minor, their **continuous nature** is significant. Chronic exposure to low-level stressors may influence:

- cortisol secretion
- behavioural responses
- energy balance

Although direct causal evidence linking clothing to cortisol levels is limited, the broader literature supports the role of environmental discomfort in stress physiology.

4.5 Social Stress and Clothing Norms

Clothing is not purely functional; it is also governed by social norms. These norms may introduce additional sources of stress, including:

- concern about appearance
- fear of social judgment
- pressure to conform

(Criado Perez, 2019)

These factors may be particularly relevant where clothing:

- restricts movement
- increases vulnerability to exposure
- requires continuous adjustment

For example:

- garments that require careful positioning
- clothing that limits natural posture
- attire that demands constant awareness

Such conditions may lead to **behavioural vigilance**, which itself constitutes a form of low-level stress.

4.6 Gendered Stress Patterns in Clothing

Clothing-related stressors differ between men and women due to differing expectations and norms.

4.6.1 Women: Compression and Social Monitoring

Women's clothing frequently involves:

- compression (e.g., tight garments, undergarments)
- aesthetic expectations
- exposure-related concerns

These factors may lead to:

- continuous posture adjustment
- restricted breathing
- heightened self-monitoring

The need to maintain appearance or avoid perceived exposure may contribute to persistent low-level stress.

4.6.2 Men: Thermal and Physical Discomfort

Men's clothing, while often less compressive, may introduce stress through:

- thermal discomfort (e.g., overheating)
- friction and chafing
- prolonged enclosure

These factors may reduce comfort and contribute to irritability or reduced activity.

4.6.3 Comparative Perspective

While the nature of stress differs:

- women may experience **social and compressive stressors**
- men may experience **thermal and physical stressors**

Both may contribute to:

persistent low-level activation of stress pathways

4.7 Respiratory Mechanics and Clothing

Respiration is a critical physiological process influencing:

- oxygen delivery
- carbon dioxide removal
- autonomic nervous system regulation

Breathing patterns also affect stress physiology. Slow, deep breathing is associated with:

- parasympathetic activation
- reduced cortisol levels
- improved relaxation

(Jerath et al., 2006)

4.8 Clothing and Breathing Restriction

Restrictive clothing, particularly around the thoracic and abdominal regions, may influence breathing mechanics.

Examples include:

- tight waistbands

- compressive tops
- structured undergarments

These may limit:

- diaphragmatic expansion
- rib cage movement
- lung volume

Historically, extreme examples such as corsets have demonstrated significant effects on breathing and posture (Steele, 2001). While modern garments are generally less restrictive, milder forms of compression may still influence breathing patterns.

4.9 Implications for Stress and Physiology

Restricted breathing may contribute to:

- shallower respiration
- reduced oxygenation efficiency
- increased reliance on accessory muscles

These changes may influence the autonomic nervous system, potentially increasing sympathetic activity and reducing parasympathetic tone.

Over time, such patterns may contribute to:

- increased physiological stress
- altered hormonal regulation

4.10 Interaction Between Breathing, Stress, and Behaviour

Breathing, stress, and behaviour are closely interconnected.

- stress alters breathing patterns
- breathing influences stress responses
- behaviour influences both

Clothing may intersect with this system by:

- restricting natural breathing
- influencing posture

- contributing to discomfort

Thus, it may indirectly affect stress physiology.

4.11 Integration with Metabolic Outcomes

The pathways described in this section suggest that clothing may influence metabolic outcomes indirectly through:

- stress-related hormonal changes (e.g., cortisol)
- altered breathing patterns
- behavioural adaptations

While each pathway is individually modest, their cumulative effect may be relevant over time.

Importantly:

Clothing does not directly cause visceral adiposity but may contribute to the conditions under which it develops.

4.12 Limitations of Evidence

The relationship between clothing and hormonal regulation is:

- indirect
- difficult to measure
- influenced by many confounding variables

There is limited direct experimental evidence linking clothing to:

- cortisol levels
- insulin sensitivity

Accordingly, conclusions must remain cautious.

4.13 Summary of Section 4

Clothing may influence metabolic health through:

- low-level stress pathways
- hormonal regulation (particularly cortisol)

- respiratory mechanics
- behavioural adaptation

These effects are:

- subtle
- persistent
- cumulative

They form part of a broader system in which environmental conditions interact with physiological processes.

Section 5: Clothing Systems, Gendered Environments, and Environmental Context

---

5.1 From Garments to Systems

Clothing is often analysed at the level of individual garments. However, such an approach is insufficient to capture its broader significance. Clothing exists within a **system of production, expectation, and use**, shaped by cultural norms, economic structures, and environmental conditions.

This system determines not only what individuals wear, but also:

- what is considered appropriate
- what is considered professional
- what is socially acceptable
- what is practically available

Thus, clothing should be understood not merely as an individual choice, but as a **designed environmental system** that structures the daily physical conditions experienced by the body.

5.2 Clothing as a Form of Environmental Design

Environmental design traditionally refers to architecture, urban planning, and infrastructure. However, clothing may be understood as a form of **micro-environmental design**, operating at the scale of the individual body.

Like buildings, clothing:

- regulates temperature
- shapes movement
- influences behaviour
- creates zones of comfort and constraint

The difference lies in scale and persistence. Clothing is:

- continuously worn
- directly in contact with the body
- highly responsive to cultural norms

This makes it one of the most immediate environmental factors influencing human physiology.

5.3 Gendered Environmental Exposure

Environmental exposure is rarely uniform across populations. Differences in access to resources, occupational roles, and physical environments produce unequal health outcomes (Sallis and Glanz, 2009).

Clothing extends this principle to the body itself.

Men and women are typically subject to different clothing norms, resulting in **systematically different environmental exposures**, including:

- thermal conditions
- mechanical constraints
- behavioural expectations
- social pressures

These differences are not biologically determined but culturally constructed.

5.4 Clothing as Environmental Inequality

The concept of **environmental inequality** is traditionally applied to disparities in exposure to pollution, access to green space, or environmental hazards. However, it may also be applied to differences in **designed bodily environments**.

Clothing systems may create inequality by:

- imposing different physical constraints on different groups
- shaping behaviour in gender-specific ways
- influencing comfort and movement differently

(Criado Perez, 2019)

For example:

- men may experience increased thermal burden due to enclosed garments
- women may experience increased compression and behavioural constraint

These conditions represent different forms of environmental exposure, each with potential implications for behaviour and health.

5.5 Internalisation of Clothing Constraints

Over time, external constraints imposed by clothing become internalised as habitual behaviour.

Individuals learn to:

- adjust posture
- regulate movement
- anticipate discomfort
- avoid certain actions

This process of **behavioural internalisation** transforms environmental constraints into personal habits.

For example:

- reduced stride length becomes habitual
- avoidance of sitting becomes automatic
- posture adapts to garment structure

These behaviours may persist even when clothing changes, indicating the depth of conditioning.

5.6 Social Reinforcement and Norm Stability

Clothing norms are maintained through multiple forms of social reinforcement, including:

- workplace expectations
- media representation
- peer behaviour
- institutional dress codes

Deviation from these norms may carry:

- social risk
- perceived loss of professionalism
- discomfort due to unfamiliarity

As a result, individuals may continue to wear suboptimal clothing even when alternatives would improve comfort or function.

5.7 Climate Change and Thermal Adaptation

Global climate change is altering environmental conditions, with rising temperatures increasing the importance of effective thermal adaptation.

Heat stress has been shown to:

- reduce physical activity
- impair cognitive function
- increase physiological strain

(Maughan, Shirreffs and Watson, 2007)

Clothing plays a critical role in this context. Garments that trap heat may exacerbate the effects of rising temperatures, while those that promote ventilation may support adaptation.

5.8 Gendered Adaptation to Climate

Clothing norms may influence the ability of individuals to adapt to changing environmental conditions.

Where norms restrict:

- garment type

- fabric choice
- degree of ventilation

adaptation may be limited.

This may result in:

- increased discomfort
- reduced activity
- greater reliance on artificial cooling

These effects may differ between men and women depending on prevailing norms.

5.9 Clothing, Sustainability, and Resource Use

Clothing systems have significant environmental impacts, particularly in terms of:

- water consumption
- energy use
- chemical pollution

The **water footprint** of textile production is substantial, particularly for cotton (Chapagain et al., 2006; Hoekstra and Chapagain, 2007).

In addition, clothing maintenance—especially washing and drying—contributes to ongoing resource consumption.

5.10 Garment Design and Resource Efficiency

Garment design influences environmental impact.

Highly structured garments may require:

- more material
- more complex production processes
- more frequent maintenance

By contrast, simpler garments—such as loose, minimally structured clothing—may:

- use fewer resources
- require less washing
- have longer lifespans

These characteristics align with functional advantages identified earlier, including improved ventilation and reduced constraint.

5.11 Clothing, Water Scarcity, and Future Constraints

As global water resources become increasingly constrained, the sustainability of clothing systems becomes more important.

Garments that:

- require frequent washing
- involve complex materials
- have short lifespans

may become less viable.

Simpler, more durable garments may offer advantages in this context.

5.12 Cultural Shifts in Clothing Practices

Clothing practices are not static. In recent decades, there have been notable shifts, including:

- increased adoption of trousers by women
- movement toward more casual clothing
- growing emphasis on comfort

These changes demonstrate that clothing norms are adaptable.

However, they also illustrate that shifts are often uneven and influenced by:

- cultural expectations
- economic factors
- social identity

5.13 Clothing as Micro-Architecture

Clothing may be conceptualised as a form of **micro-architecture**, shaping the immediate environment of the body.

Like buildings, clothing:

- encloses space
- regulates temperature
- influences behaviour

This analogy highlights the importance of design. Just as poorly designed buildings may impair comfort and function, poorly designed clothing may create unnecessary constraints.

5.14 Integration with Metabolic Health

The system-level perspective developed in this section supports the broader argument of the paper.

Clothing:

- shapes thermal conditions
- influences movement
- affects behaviour
- contributes to environmental exposure

These factors interact with biological systems to influence metabolic outcomes.

Importantly:

Clothing does not act in isolation but as part of a broader environmental system.

5.15 Summary of Section 5

Clothing should be understood as:

- a system-level environmental factor
- a form of micro-environmental design
- a contributor to gendered environmental exposure

Its effects are:

- indirect
- persistent
- shaped by cultural norms

Recognising clothing in this way expands the scope of environmental determinants of health and highlights its potential relevance to metabolic outcomes.

Section 6. Integrated Theoretical Synthesis and System-Level Interpretation

---

6.1 From Isolated Mechanisms to Systemic Understanding

The preceding sections have examined multiple pathways through which clothing may influence physiological and behavioural processes:

- thermoregulation and energy expenditure (Section 2)
- biomechanics and movement patterns (Section 3)
- hormonal regulation and stress physiology (Section 4)
- environmental systems and gendered exposure (Section 5)

Individually, each pathway appears modest in magnitude. None, in isolation, provides a sufficient explanation for the development of visceral adiposity. However, when considered collectively, they suggest a coherent pattern:

Clothing acts as a persistent environmental modifier operating across multiple physiological and behavioural systems.

This section integrates these pathways into a unified theoretical framework.

6.2 Visceral Adiposity as an Emergent Phenomenon

Visceral adiposity is best understood not as the product of a single causal factor, but as an **emergent phenomenon** arising from the interaction of multiple systems, including:

- energy balance
- hormonal regulation
- behavioural patterns
- environmental conditions

(Després, 2012; Hall et al., 2012)

Within this framework, small, persistent influences may accumulate over time, shaping long-term outcomes.

Clothing, as a continuous environmental factor, operates within this system.

6.3 The Principle of Persistent Micro-Influence

A central concept emerging from this analysis is that of **persistent micro-influence**.

Clothing does not exert large, acute effects. Instead, it produces:

- minor changes in thermal conditions
- slight alterations in movement
- subtle behavioural adjustments
- low-level variations in comfort and stress

Individually, these effects are negligible. However, they are:

- experienced daily
- applied continuously
- maintained over long periods

This aligns with models of metabolic change based on **small, sustained imbalances** (Hall et al., 2012).

6.4 Integration of Thermoregulation and Energy Balance

Section 2 demonstrated that clothing influences thermoregulation by modifying the body's position relative to the thermoneutral zone.

Key mechanisms include:

- insulation and heat retention
- ventilation and evaporative cooling
- localised thermal environments

By maintaining individuals within thermoneutral conditions, clothing may reduce thermogenic energy expenditure.

While the magnitude of this effect is modest, its persistence is significant. Over time, reduced thermogenic demand may contribute to:

- lower baseline energy expenditure
- reduced metabolic variability

This pathway interacts with behavioural mechanisms, particularly NEAT.

6.5 Integration of Biomechanics and Movement Ecology

Section 3 demonstrated that clothing influences movement through both:

- mechanical constraint
- behavioural adaptation

These effects include:

- reduced range of motion
- altered gait patterns
- decreased spontaneous movement

Clothing also influences **movement decision-making**, affecting whether individuals choose to:

- stand or sit
- walk or remain stationary
- move freely or cautiously

These behavioural effects may reduce NEAT, contributing to lower daily energy expenditure.

6.6 Integration of Stress Physiology and Hormonal Regulation

Section 4 examined the role of low-level stressors and their potential influence on hormonal regulation.

Clothing may contribute to stress through:

- physical discomfort
- thermal burden
- social expectations
- behavioural vigilance

Chronic low-level stress may influence:

- cortisol secretion
- insulin sensitivity

- fat distribution

(Björntorp, 2001; Rosmond, 2005)

While the direct impact of clothing on hormonal pathways is difficult to quantify, its contribution to the broader stress environment is plausible.

6.7 Integration of Respiratory and Postural Effects

Clothing may also influence respiratory mechanics and posture.

Restrictive garments may:

- limit diaphragmatic expansion
- alter breathing patterns
- affect autonomic regulation

These changes may contribute to:

- reduced parasympathetic activity
- increased physiological stress

Although subtle, these effects reinforce the broader theme of environmental influence.

6.8 Integration of Digestive Processes and Microbiome Activity

Section 2 introduced the role of digestion and microbiome activity, using flatulence as an illustrative example.

Key points include:

- variability in fermentation and energy extraction
- influence of behaviour and posture on digestive processes
- challenges in measurement

Clothing may interact with these processes indirectly through:

- posture
- movement
- abdominal pressure
- thermal environment

While these effects are likely small, they further support the concept of the body as an **open system influenced by its environment**.

6.9 Gender as an Environmental Modifier

A key contribution of this paper is the recognition that clothing creates **gendered environmental conditions**.

Men and women are subject to different clothing norms, resulting in:

- different thermal environments
- different movement constraints
- different behavioural expectations
- different stress patterns

These differences are culturally constructed rather than biologically required.

Thus:

Sex differences in metabolic outcomes may be influenced not only by biological factors but also by gendered environmental conditions.

This does not diminish the importance of biological mechanisms but expands the framework within which they are understood.

6.10 Clothing as Micro-Architecture in a Systems Model

Building on Section 5, clothing may be conceptualised as a form of **micro-architecture**, shaping the immediate environment of the body.

Within a systems model, clothing:

- regulates thermal conditions
- influences movement
- affects behaviour
- contributes to stress

This positions clothing alongside:

- built environment
- occupational structure

- technological systems

as a component of the broader environmental context.

6.11 Interaction with Modern Lifestyles

The effects of clothing are amplified in modern environments characterised by:

- sedentary behaviour
- climate control
- reduced physical labour

(Church et al., 2011; Owen et al., 2010)

In such contexts, even small additional constraints may have disproportionate effects.

Clothing may:

- reinforce sedentary behaviour
- reduce incidental movement
- maintain thermoneutral conditions

Thus, it acts as a **reinforcing factor within a broader system of reduced activity**.

6.12 Limits of Causality and Strength of Evidence

It is essential to maintain appropriate caution in interpreting these findings.

There is:

- no direct causal evidence linking clothing to visceral adiposity
- limited experimental research isolating clothing effects
- substantial variability between individuals

Accordingly:

Clothing should be understood as a contributing environmental factor rather than a primary determinant.

6.13 The Value of the Systems Perspective

Despite these limitations, the systems perspective offers important advantages.

It allows for:

- integration of multiple small effects
- recognition of environmental influence
- identification of modifiable factors

This approach aligns with contemporary models of health, which emphasise the interaction between biological, behavioural, and environmental systems.

6.14 Implications for Research

The analysis presented in this paper suggests several areas for further research:

- experimental studies on clothing and NEAT
- investigation of clothing and thermal regulation in real-world settings
- analysis of behavioural responses to clothing constraints
- exploration of gendered environmental exposure

Improved measurement techniques, including wearable sensors, may facilitate such research.

6.15 Implications for Practice

While the primary purpose of this section is theoretical, several practical implications emerge:

- clothing should be considered in discussions of environmental health
- comfort and movement should be prioritised alongside aesthetics
- gendered norms should be examined critically

These implications are developed further in Section 7.

6.16 Summary of Section 6

This section has demonstrated that:

- clothing influences multiple physiological and behavioural pathways
- these effects are small but persistent
- their interaction may contribute to metabolic outcomes

Clothing acts as a **continuous environmental modifier**, shaping the conditions under which biological processes operate.

Section 7: Practical Recommendations, Applications, and Final Conclusions

---

7.1 Positioning Recommendations Within Evidence

The preceding sections have established that clothing may act as a **persistent environmental modifier**, influencing thermoregulation, movement, behaviour, and stress physiology. However, it is essential to emphasise the scope of these effects.

Clothing is:

- not a primary determinant of visceral adiposity
- not a substitute for diet or structured physical activity

Rather, its significance lies in:

continuous, low-level influence operating across daily life.

Accordingly, the recommendations presented here are intended to:

- optimise environmental conditions
- reduce unnecessary constraints
- support natural movement and physiological function

7.2 Overarching Principles

All recommendations in this section are grounded in four core principles:

1. **Minimise unnecessary enclosure**
2. **Reduce compression where possible**
3. **Support natural movement patterns**
4. **Optimise thermal comfort without over-reliance on artificial environments**

These principles apply across genders and contexts, though their implementation differs.

7.3 Recommendations for Men

7.3.1 Reducing Lower-Body Enclosure

A consistent finding throughout this paper is the potential impact of enclosed lower-body garments on:

- thermal accumulation
- friction and chafing
- comfort during movement

Men are typically expected to wear trousers in most social contexts. These garments:

- reduce airflow
- trap heat
- create localised thermal environments

Reducing lower-body enclosure may therefore improve:

- thermal comfort
- willingness to move
- overall daily activity

7.3.2 Adoption of Skirts and Dress-Like Garments

Loose, non-bifurcated garments such as skirts, kilts, robes, and long tunics offer several functional advantages:

- increased ventilation
- reduced heat retention
- decreased friction
- improved comfort in sedentary and active contexts

While cultural barriers exist, these garments are **structurally aligned with thermoregulatory efficiency**.

Practical contexts for adoption:

- home environments
- remote working settings

- informal or private contexts

In modern working arrangements, where video communication typically frames only the upper body, lower-body clothing is often not visible. This creates a practical opportunity for functional clothing choices without social disruption.

Men should be encouraged to adopt such garments where social constraints are minimal.

7.3.3 Transitional Approaches

Recognising cultural resistance, intermediate steps include:

- looser trousers
- wider cuts
- breathable fabrics
- shorts in appropriate contexts

These changes allow gradual adaptation.

7.3.4 Nightwear: Nightshirts

Nightwear represents a significant proportion of daily clothing exposure.

Nightshirts—loose, non-restrictive garments—offer:

- reduced lower-body enclosure
- improved airflow
- decreased friction

These characteristics may support:

- thermal regulation during sleep
- comfort and relaxation

(Kräuchi and Deboer, 2010)

7.3.5 Sleeping Nude

Sleeping without clothing eliminates garment-related thermal constraints entirely.

Potential benefits include:

- improved heat dissipation
- reduced moisture accumulation
- enhanced comfort

However, suitability depends on:

- ambient temperature
- personal preference

Sleeping nude should be considered an optional optimisation strategy rather than a universal recommendation.

7.4 Recommendations for Women

7.4.1 Reducing Lower-Body Compression

Tight garments such as jeans and leggings may:

- restrict movement
- increase abdominal pressure
- reduce ventilation

Reducing reliance on such garments may improve:

- comfort
- movement patterns
- spontaneous activity

7.4.2 Reconsideration of Dress Structures

Garments with:

- looser skirts
- structured but non-compressive design
- adequate length

may support:

- improved airflow

- greater freedom of movement
- reduced behavioural constraint

Dress styles that avoid both excessive compression and excessive instability may offer optimal functional balance.

7.4.3 Behavioural Considerations in Garment Design

Garments that are:

- very short
- unstable
- exposure-sensitive

may lead to:

- reduced stride length
- avoidance of sitting
- increased behavioural vigilance

These adaptations may reduce NEAT.

Accordingly:

Clothing should support natural movement without requiring behavioural modification.

7.4.4 Reconsideration of Undergarments

Undergarments, particularly bras, introduce compression to the thoracic region.

Research on restrictive clothing indicates that compression may influence:

- breathing patterns
- posture
- comfort

(Steele, 2001)

While undergarments may serve practical and cultural purposes, their necessity varies by context.

Women may reasonably consider:

- reducing use in low-constraint environments
- selecting less restrictive designs
- prioritising comfort and function

7.5 Shared Recommendations

7.5.1 Clothing as Environmental Exposure

Clothing should be understood as a **continuous environmental factor**, influencing daily physiological conditions.

7.5.2 Prioritising Function

Where there is tension between:

- aesthetic expectation
- physiological function

greater consideration should be given to function, particularly in private or low-constraint environments.

7.5.3 The Home as a Primary Site of Change

The home environment offers:

- reduced social pressure
- greater autonomy
- opportunity for experimentation

It is therefore the most practical starting point for changes in clothing practices.

7.5.4 Incremental Change

Changes should be:

- gradual
- context-sensitive
- sustainable

7.6 Application to Pre-Diabetes

Pre-diabetes is characterised by:

- impaired glucose regulation
- insulin resistance

Visceral adiposity is a major contributing factor (Després, 2012).

Clothing-related strategies may support management by:

- increasing comfort during movement
- reducing barriers to activity
- improving thermal conditions

These factors may contribute indirectly to:

- increased daily movement
- improved metabolic regulation

7.7 Limitations of Recommendations

It is essential to emphasise:

- limited direct experimental evidence
- modest magnitude of effects
- significant cultural constraints

Clothing should be viewed as:

a complementary factor within a broader strategy for metabolic health.

7.8 Final Conclusion

This paper has examined clothing as a **persistent environmental factor** influencing:

- thermoregulation
- biomechanics

- behaviour
- stress physiology

Across these domains, a consistent pattern emerges:

- effects are small
- effects are continuous
- effects are cumulative

Visceral adiposity remains primarily driven by:

- biological mechanisms
- energy balance
- lifestyle factors

However:

Clothing contributes to the conditions under which these processes operate.

By shaping:

- thermal environments
- movement patterns
- behavioural responses

clothing becomes part of the broader system influencing metabolic outcomes.

7.9 Final Statement

The central conclusion of this paper is not that clothing determines metabolic health, but that:

it participates in shaping the everyday environment within which metabolic processes unfold.

Recognising this expands the scope of inquiry from:

- individual behaviour
  to
- **environmental interaction**

and highlights the potential value of examining even familiar aspects of daily life through a physiological and systems-based lens.

Reference List

A

Allwood, J.M., Laursen, S.E., Malvido de Rodríguez, C. and Bocken, N.M.P. (2006) *Well dressed? The present and future sustainability of clothing and textiles in the United Kingdom*. Cambridge: University of Cambridge Institute for Manufacturing.

American Diabetes Association (2023) 'Standards of medical care in diabetes—2023', *Diabetes Care*, 46(Suppl. 1), pp. S1–S291.

B

Barnard, M. (2014) *Fashion theory: an introduction*. London: Routledge.

Björntorp, P. (1997) 'Body fat distribution, insulin resistance, and metabolic diseases', *Nutrition*, 13(9), pp. 795–803.

Björntorp, P. (2001) 'Do stress reactions cause abdominal obesity and comorbidities?', *Obesity Reviews*, 2(2), pp. 73–86.

Blundell, J.E., Dulloo, A.G., Salvador, J. and Frühbeck, G. (2014) 'Beyond BMI—phenotyping the obesities', *Obesity Facts*, 7(5), pp. 322–328.

Booth, F.W., Roberts, C.K. and Laye, M.J. (2012) 'Lack of exercise is a major cause of chronic diseases', *Comprehensive Physiology*, 2(2), pp. 1143–1211.

Bray, G.A. and Bouchard, C. (eds) (2014) *Handbook of obesity: clinical applications*. 4th edn. Boca Raton: CRC Press.

C

Chapagain, A.K., Hoekstra, A.Y., Savenije, H.H.G. and Gautam, R. (2006) 'The water footprint of cotton consumption', *Ecological Economics*, 60(1), pp. 186–203.

Church, T.S., Thomas, D.M., Tudor-Locke, C., Katzmarzyk, P.T., Earnest, C.P., Rodarte, R.Q., Martin, C.K., Blair, S.N. and Bouchard, C. (2011) 'Trends over 5 decades in U.S. occupation-related physical activity', *PLoS ONE*, 6(5), e19657.

Cohen, S., Janicki-Deverts, D. and Miller, G.E. (2007) 'Psychological stress and disease', *JAMA*, 298(14), pp. 1685–1687.

Criado Perez, C. (2019) *Invisible women: data bias in a world designed for men*. London: Chatto & Windus.

Cypess, A.M., Lehman, S., Williams, G., Tal, I., Rodman, D., Goldfine, A.B., Kuo, F.C., Palmer, E.L., Tseng, Y.H., Doria, A., Kolodny, G.M. and Kahn, C.R. (2009) 'Identification and importance of brown adipose tissue in adult humans', *New England Journal of Medicine*, 360(15), pp. 1509–1517.

D

Després, J.P. (2012) 'Body fat distribution and risk of cardiovascular disease: an update', *Circulation*, 126(10), pp. 1301–1313.

E

Ekelund, U., Steene-Johannessen, J., Brown, W.J., Fagerland, M.W., Owen, N., Powell, K.E., Bauman, A. and Lee, I.M. (2016) 'Physical activity and all-cause mortality across levels of overall and abdominal adiposity', *The Lancet*, 388(10051), pp. 1302–1310.

Ellen MacArthur Foundation (2017) *A new textiles economy: redesigning fashion's future*. Cowes: Ellen MacArthur Foundation.

Entwistle, J. (2015) *The fashioned body: fashion, dress and modern social theory*. 2nd edn. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Evans, G.W. and Kantrowitz, E. (2002) 'Socioeconomic status and health: the potential role of environmental risk exposure', *Annual Review of Public Health*, 23, pp. 303–331.

F

Fanger, P.O. (1970) *Thermal comfort*. Copenhagen: Danish Technical Press.

Farinatti, P.T.V., Rubini, E.C. and Silva, E.B. (2013) 'Influence of clothing on physiological responses', *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 12(2), pp. 242–249.

Fletcher, K. (2014) *Sustainable fashion and textiles: design journeys*. 2nd edn. London: Routledge.

Flint, H.J., Scott, K.P., Duncan, S.H., Louis, P. and Forano, E. (2012) 'Microbial degradation of complex carbohydrates', *Gut Microbes*, 3(4), pp. 289–306.

Fox, C.S., Massaro, J.M., Hoffmann, U., Pou, K.M., Maurovich-Horvat, P., Liu, C.Y., Vasan, R.S., Murabito, J.M., Meigs, J.B., Cupples, L.A., D'Agostino, R.B. and O'Donnell, C.J. (2007) 'Abdominal visceral adipose tissue compartments', *Circulation*, 116(1), pp. 39–48.

G

Gavin, T.P. (2003) 'Clothing and thermoregulation during exercise', *Sports Medicine*, 33(13), pp. 941–947.

Gifford, R. (2011) 'The dragons of inaction', *American Psychologist*, 66(4), pp. 290–302.

Glickman-Weiss, E.L., Nelson, A.G., Hearon, C.M. and Dengel, D.R. (1997) 'Restrictive clothing and exercise performance', *Ergonomics*, 40(8), pp. 929–940.

Gwilt, A. (2014) *Fashion design for living*. London: Routledge.

H

Hall, K.D., Heymsfield, S.B., Kemnitz, J.W., Klein, S., Schoeller, D.A. and Speakman, J.R. (2012) 'Energy balance and its components', *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 95(4), pp. 989–994.

Hamilton, M.T., Healy, G.N., Dunstan, D.W., Zderic, T.W. and Owen, N. (2008) 'Too little exercise and too much sitting', *Current Cardiovascular Risk Reports*, 2(4), pp. 292–298.

Haskell, E.H., Palca, J.W., Walker, J.M., Berger, R.J. and Heller, H.C. (1981) 'Metabolism and thermoregulation during sleep', *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 51(4), pp. 948–954.

Harding, E.C., Franks, N.P. and Wisden, W. (2019) 'Temperature dependence of sleep', *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 13, 336.

Hoekstra, A.Y. and Chapagain, A.K. (2007) 'Water footprints of nations', *Water Resources Management*, 21(1), pp. 35–48.

I

Ibrahim, M.M. (2010) 'Subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue', *Obesity Reviews*, 11(1), pp. 11–18.

IPCC (2021) *Climate change 2021: the physical science basis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

J

Jerath, R., Edry, J.W., Barnes, V.A. and Jerath, V. (2006) ‘Physiology of long pranayamic breathing’, *Medical Hypotheses*, 67(3), pp. 566–571.

Jung, A., Strauss, P., Lindner, H.J. and Schuppe, H.C. (2005) ‘Influence of clothing on scrotal temperature’, *Andrologia*, 37(1), pp. 1–5.

K

Kaiser, S.B. (2012) *Fashion and cultural studies*. London: Bloomsbury.

Karastergiou, K., Smith, S.R., Greenberg, A.S. and Fried, S.K. (2012) ‘Sex differences in human adipose tissues’, *Biology of Sex Differences*, 3(1), 13.

Kjellstrom, T., Holmer, I. and Lemke, B. (2009) ‘Workplace heat stress’, *Global Health Action*, 2(1), 2047.

Klein, S. et al. (2007) ‘Waist circumference and cardiometabolic risk’, *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 85(5), pp. 1197–1202.

Kohl, H.W. et al. (2012) ‘The pandemic of physical inactivity’, *The Lancet*, 380(9838), pp. 294–305.

Kräuchi, K. and Deboer, T. (2010) ‘Sleep and thermoregulation’, *Frontiers in Bioscience*, 15, pp. 604–625.

L

Levine, J.A. (2004) ‘NEAT: environment and biology’, *American Journal of Physiology*, 286(5), pp. E675–E685.

Levine, J.A., Schleusner, S.J. and Jensen, M.D. (2000) ‘Energy expenditure of nonexercise activity’, *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 72(6), pp. 1451–1454.

Levitt, M.D., Furne, J., Springfield, J., Suarez, F. and DeMaster, E. (1998) ‘Measurement of gastrointestinal gas’, *American Journal of Physiology*, 275(5), pp. G1087–G1094.

M

Malina, R.M. (2007) ‘Physical activity and fitness: pathways’, *American Journal of Human Biology*, 19(3), pp. 326–335.

Manolopoulos, K.N., Karpe, F. and Frayn, K.N. (2010) ‘Gluteofemoral body fat’, *International Journal of Obesity*, 34(6), pp. 949–959.

Maughan, R.J., Shirreffs, S.M. and Watson, P. (2007) ‘Exercise, heat, hydration’, *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 26, pp. 604S–612S.

Mekonnen, M.M. and Hoekstra, A.Y. (2011) ‘Water footprint of crops’, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 15(5), pp. 1577–1600.

Munkelwitz, R. and Gilbert, B.R. (1998) ‘Temperature effects on sperm’, *Urology*, 52(5), pp. 806–810.

N

Niinimäki, K. et al. (2020) ‘Environmental price of fast fashion’, *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 1(4), pp. 189–200.

O

Owen, N., Sparling, P.B., Healy, G.N., Dunstan, D.W. and Matthews, C.E. (2010) ‘Sedentary behavior’, *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 85(12), pp. 1138–1141.

P

Palmer, B.F. and Clegg, D.J. (2015) ‘The sexual dimorphism of obesity’, *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 90(5), pp. 697–708.

Parsons, K. (2014) *Human thermal environments*. 3rd edn. Boca Raton: CRC Press.

Pascoe, D.D., Bellingar, T.A. and McCluskey, B.S. (1994) ‘Clothing and thermoregulation’, *Sports Medicine*, 18(1), pp. 38–54.

Prochaska, J.O. and Velicer, W.F. (1997) ‘Transtheoretical model’, *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 12(1), pp. 38–48.

R

Reaven, G.M. (1988) ‘Insulin resistance’, *Diabetes*, 37(12), pp. 1595–1607.

Rissanen, T. and McQuillan, H. (2016) *Zero waste fashion design*. London: Bloomsbury.

Romanovsky, A.A. (2007) ‘Thermoregulation’, *American Journal of Physiology*, 292(1), pp. R37–R46.

Rosmond, R. (2005) ‘Stress and metabolic syndrome’, *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 30(1), pp. 1–10.

S

Sallis, J.F. and Glanz, K. (2009) ‘Physical activity environments’, *Milbank Quarterly*, 87(1), pp. 123–154.

Sapolsky, R.M. (2004) *Why zebras don’t get ulcers*. 3rd edn. New York: Henry Holt.

Speakman, J.R. and Westerterp, K.R. (2010) ‘Mathematical model of weight change’, *Obesity Reviews*, 11(6), pp. 447–458.

Steele, V. (2001) *The corset: a cultural history*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Suarez, F.L., Springfield, J. and Levitt, M.D. (1997) ‘Intestinal gas’, *New England Journal of Medicine*, 337(21), pp. 1547–1553.

T

Tabák, A.G., Herder, C., Rathmann, W., Brunner, E.J. and Kivimäki, M. (2012) ‘Prediabetes’, *The Lancet*, 379(9833), pp. 2279–2290.

Thaler, R.H. and Sunstein, C.R. (2008) *Nudge*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Turnbaugh, P.J. et al. (2006) ‘Obesity-associated microbiome’, *Nature*, 444(7122), pp. 1027–1031.

U

UNESCO (2020) *World water development report*. Paris: UNESCO.

W

Wajchenberg, B.L. (2000) ‘Visceral adipose tissue’, *Endocrine Reviews*, 21(6), pp. 697–738.

Appendix A: Recommendations for Further Research and Study

A.1 Introduction

The present paper has advanced the hypothesis that clothing functions as a **persistent environmental modifier**, influencing thermoregulation, biomechanics, behaviour, stress physiology, and, indirectly, metabolic outcomes such as visceral adiposity. While the theoretical integration presented is grounded in established literature across multiple domains, direct empirical investigation of clothing as a determinant of metabolic health remains limited.

Accordingly, this appendix outlines a structured programme of future research designed to:

- test the hypotheses proposed
- quantify the magnitude of clothing-related effects
- identify mechanisms and interactions
- establish practical relevance

A.2 Experimental Studies on Clothing and Energy Expenditure

A.2.1 Controlled Laboratory Studies

There is a clear need for controlled experimental studies examining the relationship between clothing and energy expenditure.

Future studies should:

- compare **tight vs loose garments**
- compare **enclosed vs non-enclosed lower-body clothing**
- measure:
    - resting metabolic rate
    - thermogenic response
    - skin and core temperature

Randomised crossover designs would be particularly valuable, allowing participants to serve as their own controls.

A.2.2 Thermoneutral Zone Modulation

Studies should investigate whether clothing shifts the effective thermoneutral zone.

Key questions include:

- Does clothing reduce the need for thermogenesis?
- What is the magnitude of any reduction in energy expenditure?

- How does this vary across environments (e.g., indoor vs outdoor)?

A.3 Real-World Studies on NEAT and Behaviour

A.3.1 Wearable Sensor Studies

Advances in wearable technology allow detailed measurement of:

- step count
- posture
- micro-movements
- energy expenditure

Future studies should compare:

- different clothing conditions
- real-world environments
- extended time periods (weeks to months)

This would allow direct measurement of clothing-related effects on **NEAT**.

A.3.2 Behavioural Observation Studies

Observational studies should examine how clothing influences behaviour in natural settings.

Variables of interest include:

- sitting vs standing time
- stride length
- frequency of movement
- willingness to engage in activity

Particular attention should be given to:

- workplace environments
- home environments
- public settings

A.4 Biomechanical Analysis

A.4.1 Motion Capture Studies

Biomechanical studies using motion capture systems could quantify:

- joint range of motion
- gait characteristics
- movement efficiency

These studies should compare:

- restrictive vs non-restrictive clothing
- different garment types

A.4.2 Postural Analysis

Postural effects of clothing should be investigated using:

- electromyography (EMG)
- spinal alignment measurements
- muscle activation patterns

This would clarify the role of clothing in **passive vs active support systems**.

A.5 Thermoregulation and Localised Heat Studies

A.5.1 Skin Temperature Mapping

Infrared thermography could be used to assess:

- localised heat accumulation
- temperature gradients across the body

This would allow direct measurement of clothing-induced thermal environments.

A.5.2 Microclimate Measurement

Studies should measure:

- temperature within clothing microclimates
- humidity levels
- airflow

These data would provide insight into how different garments influence thermal conditions.

A.6 Hormonal and Stress-Related Research

A.6.1 Cortisol Measurement Studies

Research is needed to examine whether clothing-related discomfort or constraint influences:

- cortisol levels
- diurnal cortisol patterns

This could be assessed using:

- salivary cortisol sampling
- longitudinal monitoring

A.6.2 Psychophysiological Studies

Studies should explore the relationship between clothing and:

- perceived stress
- autonomic nervous system activity
- heart rate variability

These measures would provide insight into **low-level chronic stress pathways**.

A.7 Respiratory and Physiological Function

A.7.1 Breathing Pattern Analysis

Research should assess whether clothing affects:

- diaphragmatic movement
- lung capacity
- breathing depth

This may be measured using:

- spirometry
- respiratory inductance plethysmography

A.7.2 Interaction with Stress Physiology

Further studies should investigate how breathing restriction interacts with:

- stress responses
- hormonal regulation

A.8 Digestive Physiology and Microbiome Research

A.8.1 Objective Measurement of Gas Production

There is a need for improved measurement of:

- intestinal gas production
- fermentation rates

This could involve:

- gas collection techniques
- breath testing

A.8.2 Behavioural Studies on Gas Suppression

An underexplored area is the effect of **social suppression of flatulence**.

Future research should examine:

- behavioural patterns across genders
- potential physiological consequences of suppression
- links to comfort and posture

A.8.3 Microbiome and Energy Extraction

Further research should explore:

- variability in energy extraction
- interaction with lifestyle factors
- links to metabolic outcomes

A.9 Gendered Environmental Exposure

A.9.1 Comparative Studies

Studies should compare:

- men and women under different clothing conditions
- thermal environments
- movement patterns
- stress indicators

A.9.2 Cultural and Cross-Population Research

Cross-cultural studies would be valuable in examining:

- different clothing norms
- varying environmental exposures
- associated health outcomes

A.10 Longitudinal and Intervention Studies

A.10.1 Clothing Intervention Trials

Intervention studies could examine the effects of:

- switching to looser garments
- reducing compression

- increasing ventilation

Outcomes of interest include:

- activity levels
- metabolic markers
- subjective wellbeing

A.10.2 Long-Term Cohort Studies

Longitudinal studies could assess whether:

- clothing habits correlate with metabolic outcomes
- changes in clothing practices influence health over time

A.11 Integration with Climate and Sustainability Research

Future research should explore:

- clothing as an adaptive response to climate change
- interaction between thermal comfort and activity
- resource-efficient clothing systems

A.12 Methodological Considerations

Future research should address:

- confounding variables (diet, activity, environment)
- individual variability
- measurement accuracy

Mixed-method approaches combining:

- quantitative measurement
- qualitative behavioural analysis

may be particularly valuable.

A.13 Final Research Priorities

The most critical areas for investigation are:

1. **Clothing and NEAT (real-world measurement)**
2. **Thermoregulatory effects of clothing**
3. **Behavioural responses to clothing constraints**
4. **Gendered environmental exposure**

A.14 Conclusion

This paper has proposed that clothing functions as a **persistent environmental modifier** influencing multiple physiological and behavioural pathways.

The research agenda outlined here provides a framework for:

- testing this hypothesis
- quantifying its effects
- integrating clothing into broader models of health

Ultimately, such research may contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of how everyday environmental factors shape long-term health outcomes.