You Can’t Wear a Skirt on the Moon... or can you?

Abstract

The relationship between modern environments and metabolic health has been widely examined in physiology, epidemiology, and public health. A substantial body of evidence links reduced physical activity, thermal stability, and chronic stress to adverse metabolic outcomes, including visceral adiposity, insulin resistance, and pre-diabetic states. This paper does not seek to replace those established findings. Rather, it offers a complementary **systems-oriented interpretation**, applying concepts from network science, systems engineering, and environmental design to the question of how constrained environments influence metabolic regulation.

Written from the perspective of a Chief Technology Officer experienced in the design and analysis of distributed systems, the paper argues that human physiology may usefully be understood as a dynamic network dependent on flow, variability, and adaptive interaction with its surroundings. The central metaphor—“you can’t wear a skirt on the moon... or can you?”—is used not as a literal claim, but as a conceptual contrast between low-constraint environments, in which movement, thermal exchange, and behavioural autonomy are preserved, and highly constrained environments, in which these interactions are externally mediated.

Across seven sections, the paper examines three principal pathways through which constrained environments may influence metabolic health: mechanical restriction of movement, suppression of thermal variability, and chronic activation of stress pathways. It further argues that modern terrestrial environments, and emerging AI-driven future systems, increasingly reproduce these constraints in attenuated but cumulative forms. The likely consequence is a shift in physiological behaviour toward reduced metabolic throughput, diminished adaptive flexibility, and increased visceral fat storage.

The contribution of this paper lies in interpretation and integration rather than in primary biomedical discovery. By combining established biological literature with a systems framework, it proposes that metabolic dysfunction cannot be fully understood without considering the architecture of the environments in which human beings now live. The paper concludes that the prevention of visceral adiposity and related metabolic disease requires not only behavioural advice, but also deliberate design of environments that restore movement, variability, and autonomy.

Section 1: You Can’t Wear a Skirt on the Moon — Constraint, Flow, and the Biological Network

---

1. Introduction

The relationship between modern environments and metabolic health has been widely examined across disciplines including physiology, epidemiology, and public health. A substantial body of evidence links reduced physical activity, thermal stability, and chronic stress to adverse metabolic outcomes, including visceral adiposity and insulin resistance (Després, 2012; Levine, 2002; McEwen, 2007).

This paper does not seek to replace or challenge these established findings. Rather, it offers a **complementary perspective**, drawing on principles from systems engineering and network science to explore how environmental design may influence physiological behaviour. In complex engineered systems, performance is shaped not only by individual components, but by patterns of flow, variability, constraint, and control across the network (Barabási, 2016; Meadows, 2008). This paper applies analogous reasoning to human environments, considering the body as a dynamic system interacting continuously with its surroundings.

The central metaphor—“you can’t wear a skirt on the moon… or can you?”—is used as a conceptual tool rather than a literal claim. It contrasts low-constraint environments, in which movement, thermal exchange, and behavioural variability are preserved, with highly constrained environments, in which these interactions are mediated or restricted. While extreme environments such as space require such constraint for survival, elements of similar constraint are increasingly present in modern terrestrial contexts.

The aim of this paper is therefore to examine whether the progressive reduction of movement, thermal variability, and autonomy in modern and future environments may influence metabolic regulation through systemic pathways. In doing so, it seeks to integrate established biological evidence with a systems-oriented framework, offering a structured way to understand how environmental design may contribute to the development of visceral adiposity and related metabolic conditions.

The analysis is intended to be exploratory and interdisciplinary. It does not claim to establish new clinical mechanisms, but to provide a **coherent systems-level interpretation** that may complement existing biomedical models and inform future research and design.

Author Position and Perspective

The author is a Chief Technology Officer with professional experience in the design, analysis, and operation of large-scale distributed systems. This background involves the study of network behaviour, including flow, throughput, constraint, resilience, and optimisation across complex infrastructures.

This paper is written from that perspective. It does not claim medical or clinical authority. Instead, it applies systems-level reasoning—commonly used in network engineering and infrastructure design—to questions of human physiology and environmental interaction.

The rationale for this approach is that both technological and biological systems share certain structural characteristics: they are composed of interconnected components, rely on continuous flows of resources and signals, and are sensitive to constraint, variability, and load. Insights from one domain may therefore provide useful interpretive frameworks for the other.

All biological and medical claims within this paper are grounded in established literature and cited accordingly. The contribution of this work lies in **interpretation and integration**, rather than in primary biomedical discovery.

1.1 Introduction

Why should a Chief Technology Officer write about skirts, the moon, and visceral fat?

The answer lies not in disciplinary overlap, but in shared principles of system behaviour. Modern technological systems—distributed networks, cloud architectures, and large-scale infrastructure—operate according to well-established rules concerning flow, variability, redundancy, and constraint. These same principles, it is argued here, provide a useful and underutilised lens through which to understand human physiology and metabolic health.

The proposition that "you can't wear a skirt on the moon" appears self-evident. The lunar environment, characterised by vacuum, extreme temperature variation, and radiation exposure, necessitates highly engineered protective systems (NASA, 2015). Yet the value of the statement is not literal but conceptual. In this paper, the **skirt** functions as a metaphor for a low-constraint interface between the body and its environment—one that permits movement, airflow, thermal exchange, and behavioural variability. The **moon**, by contrast, represents a fully constrained system in which natural interaction is replaced by mechanical mediation.

This paper argues that elements of such constraint are increasingly present in modern and emerging environments. These changes—while often associated with comfort and efficiency—may contribute to metabolic dysregulation, particularly through pathways linked to visceral adiposity and pre-diabetes (Després, 2012; Blüher, 2019).

1.2 The Skirt as a Low-Constraint Interface

Clothing has long been recognised as a mediator between the human body and its environment, influencing both thermal regulation and movement (Parsons, 2014; Havenith, 2002). Garments that allow airflow and unrestricted movement support dynamic physiological interaction, including convective heat loss and natural gait patterns.

The skirt, as used in this paper, is not intended as a cultural prescription but as a functional metaphor. It represents:

- reduced mechanical restriction of the lower body
- increased airflow and thermal exchange
- preservation of natural movement variability

From a physiological perspective, such characteristics are significant. Movement variability and thermal interaction both contribute to energy expenditure and metabolic regulation (Levine, 2002; van Marken Lichtenbelt et al., 2009).

In systems terms, the skirt represents a **low-friction interface**, allowing continuous exchange between the body and its environment.

1.3 The Moon as a Fully Constrained System

The lunar environment provides a clear example of total environmental constraint. Extravehicular activity requires a pressurised suit that regulates temperature, supplies oxygen, and protects against radiation and vacuum (NASA, 2015). These suits impose substantial mechanical resistance and restrict movement, while also replacing natural thermoregulation with active control systems (Carr and Newman, 2007).

From a systems perspective, the moon represents an environment in which:

- direct environmental interaction is impossible
- all exchanges must be mediated through engineered systems
- variability is minimised in favour of stability and survival

This is analogous to highly controlled technological environments, in which systems are tightly managed to reduce risk and optimise performance. However, such control may come at the cost of reduced adaptability.

1.4 A Systems Perspective on Human Physiology

Human physiology can be understood as a complex, adaptive system characterised by interdependent networks of transport, signalling, and response. These include:

- metabolic pathways regulating energy use and storage
- cardiovascular and respiratory systems enabling substrate and oxygen delivery
- endocrine signalling governing adaptation and stress response

The concept of the body as an integrated system is well established in physiology (Noble, 2008; West, 2012). Health, within this framework, depends on the efficient operation of these interconnected processes.

A key concept in both biological and technological systems is **flow**. In biological terms, this includes:

- glucose transport and utilisation
- oxygen delivery
- hormonal signalling
- heat exchange

When these processes operate dynamically, the system maintains flexibility and responsiveness. When flow is reduced or constrained, dysfunction may arise.

1.5 Throughput, Variability, and Metabolic Function

In network engineering, throughput refers to the rate at which data moves through a system. Reduced throughput leads to congestion and degraded performance. Analogously, in biological systems, reduced movement and metabolic activity can impair energy utilisation and promote storage.

Non-exercise activity thermogenesis (NEAT) has been identified as a major contributor to daily energy expenditure and a key determinant of weight regulation (Levine, 2002). Similarly, exposure to thermal variability has been shown to activate brown adipose tissue and increase energy expenditure (van Marken Lichtenbelt et al., 2009).

Variability itself is increasingly recognised as a fundamental requirement for healthy system function. Physiological systems operate optimally when exposed to changing conditions, which stimulate adaptive responses (Sterling, 2012). Conversely, environments that maintain constant conditions may reduce the need for such adaptation.

1.6 Constraint and Its Systemic Consequences

In technological systems, constraints are often introduced to improve efficiency, security, or predictability. However, excessive constraint can reduce redundancy, limit alternative pathways, and increase system fragility.

A similar principle applies to human environments. Modern life introduces multiple forms of constraint:

- reduced movement due to sedentary work
- thermal stability through climate control
- behavioural structuring through built environments
- cognitive mediation via digital systems

These factors have been associated with reduced energy expenditure, increased sedentary behaviour, and adverse metabolic outcomes (Owen et al., 2010; Church et al., 2011).

From a systems perspective, such constraints may reduce the dynamic operation of physiological networks, favouring storage over utilisation.

1.7 Environmental Inputs and Network Activation

Biological systems depend on environmental inputs to maintain function. These include:

- physical activity
- temperature variation
- sensory stimulation
- cognitive engagement

These inputs drive adaptive processes and maintain system capacity. When environmental variability is reduced, the system may shift toward a lower level of activation.

Modern environments increasingly minimise such variability. Indoor living, climate control, and digital mediation reduce direct interaction with natural conditions (Kingma et al., 2012). While these changes enhance comfort, they may also reduce physiological stimulation.

1.8 Reframing Visceral Adiposity

Visceral adiposity is strongly associated with metabolic dysfunction, including insulin resistance and cardiovascular disease (Després, 2012). While traditionally linked to caloric imbalance, it is increasingly understood as part of a broader physiological response to environmental and behavioural factors (Blüher, 2019).

Within the framework developed here, visceral fat accumulation can be interpreted as a consequence of:

- reduced metabolic throughput
- limited environmental variability
- chronic low-level stress
- altered endocrine signalling

This does not replace existing explanations but situates them within a systems context.

1.9 The Central Question

The metaphor of the skirt and the moon encapsulates the central question of this paper:

What are the metabolic consequences of living in environments that increasingly resemble constrained systems?

More specifically, the paper asks whether the conditions that make it impossible to wear a skirt on the moon—mechanical restriction, thermal control, and environmental mediation—are being reproduced, in attenuated form, in modern life.

1.10 Structure of the Paper

The remainder of the paper develops this argument across multiple domains.

Section 2 examines mechanical constraint and movement restriction.
Section 3 explores thermoregulation and thermal stability.
Section 4 analyses stress and endocrine pathways.
Section 5 situates these mechanisms within modern environments.
Section 6 considers future developments in automation and artificial intelligence.
Section 7 proposes design principles to restore variability, movement, and autonomy.

Across all sections, the central metaphor remains: the skirt represents low-constraint interaction with the environment, while the moon represents total mediation. The relevance of this contrast extends beyond space, offering insight into the conditions under which human metabolic systems operate.

Section 2: Mechanical Constraint and Reduced Movement Throughput

---

2.1 Scope and Framing

This section examines how mechanical constraint alters patterns of human movement and how those alterations affect metabolic function. The focus is not on structured exercise, but on **habitual, low-intensity activity distributed across the day**. The central claim is that reductions in such activity reduce metabolic throughput and are associated with increased risk of visceral adiposity.

Mechanical constraint is defined here as any condition that reduces the **frequency, range, or variability of movement**, whether through environmental design, occupational structure, transport systems, or physical interfaces such as clothing and footwear. The analysis draws on evidence from physiology, epidemiology, biomechanics, and occupational health, with the objective of identifying consistent patterns rather than proposing novel mechanisms.

2.2 Skeletal Muscle Activity and Glucose Regulation

Skeletal muscle is responsible for the majority of insulin-mediated glucose uptake in the postprandial state. Reduced muscle activation is therefore directly linked to impaired glucose disposal and reduced insulin sensitivity (DeFronzo and Tripathy, 2009). This relationship is continuous rather than episodic: it reflects the cumulative effect of muscle activity across the day.

Experimental evidence indicates that even short periods of inactivity can impair metabolic control. Reduced ambulatory activity leads to measurable declines in insulin sensitivity within days (Healy et al., 2008). Conversely, frequent low-intensity activation of skeletal muscle supports glucose uptake through insulin-dependent and independent pathways.

These findings indicate that metabolic regulation depends not only on total energy expenditure but on the **temporal distribution of activity**. Systems characterised by prolonged inactivity punctuated by brief activity differ fundamentally from those in which movement is distributed throughout the day.

2.3 Non-Exercise Activity Thermogenesis (NEAT)

Non-exercise activity thermogenesis (NEAT) provides a framework for quantifying the metabolic contribution of everyday movement. NEAT includes standing, walking, posture maintenance, and spontaneous physical activity.

Controlled overfeeding studies demonstrate substantial inter-individual variability in NEAT responses. Levine et al. (1999) showed that individuals who increase spontaneous movement dissipate excess energy more effectively than those who do not, leading to significant differences in weight gain under identical caloric conditions. These differences can exceed several hundred kilocalories per day.

NEAT is highly sensitive to environmental context. When environments reduce opportunities or requirements for movement, NEAT declines without conscious awareness (Levine, 2002). This positions NEAT as a **system-level property**, shaped by environmental structure rather than solely by individual choice.

2.4 Sedentary Behaviour as an Independent Risk Factor

Sedentary behaviour is characterised by prolonged periods of low energy expenditure, typically in seated or reclined postures. It is distinct from the absence of exercise and has independent metabolic effects.

Interrupting sedentary time improves postprandial glucose and insulin responses, even when total daily activity remains unchanged (Dunstan et al., 2012). These findings indicate that metabolic function depends on **regular activation cycles**, not simply cumulative activity.

Physiological mechanisms associated with prolonged inactivity include:

- reduced skeletal muscle contraction
- decreased GLUT4-mediated glucose uptake
- altered lipid metabolism

These processes collectively reduce substrate utilisation and favour storage.

2.5 Forms of Mechanical Constraint

Mechanical constraint operates across multiple domains. While extreme examples such as pressurised spacesuits illustrate the principle clearly, more relevant are the cumulative effects of lower-grade constraints in everyday environments.

2.5.1 Occupational Structure

Modern work environments are predominantly sedentary. Office-based roles require prolonged sitting, often in ergonomically optimised but movement-limiting configurations. Over recent decades, occupation-related energy expenditure has declined substantially due to shifts toward desk-based work (Church et al., 2011).

2.5.2 Built Environment

Architectural and urban design increasingly prioritise efficiency and convenience. Lifts, escalators, and automated access systems reduce incidental physical effort. While individually minor, these features collectively reduce movement frequency.

2.5.3 Transport Systems

Motorised transport removes walking from routine activity. Journeys that previously required sustained physical effort are now completed with minimal movement, reducing both duration and variability of activity.

2.5.4 Clothing and Footwear

Clothing and footwear can influence movement patterns by altering joint mobility, posture, and gait. While typically subtle, persistent use of restrictive or highly structured designs may reduce movement variability over time.

2.6 Movement Variability and Neuromuscular Engagement

In unconstrained environments, movement is inherently variable. Individuals continuously adjust speed, direction, posture, and force in response to changing conditions. This variability engages multiple muscle groups and supports neuromuscular coordination.

Mechanical constraint reduces this variability. Repetitive or restricted movement patterns limit the range of muscular activation and reduce overall system engagement. Evidence from motor control research suggests that variability is a functional characteristic of healthy systems, enabling adaptability and resilience.

Reduced variability may therefore contribute to:

- decreased muscle recruitment
- reduced energy expenditure
- diminished adaptive capacity

2.7 Repetitive Strain and Reduced Movement Diversity

Repetitive strain injuries (RSIs) provide a clinically observable example of the consequences of constrained and repetitive movement patterns. RSIs encompass a range of musculoskeletal disorders associated with repeated, low-variability motions affecting tendons, muscles, and peripheral nerves (Silverstein et al., 1987).

These conditions are most commonly associated with occupational environments characterised by:

- fixed postures
- repetitive task cycles
- limited variation in joint movement
- sustained low-level mechanical load

Epidemiological studies have linked such environments to increased incidence of upper limb disorders, particularly in desk-based and assembly-line work (Punnett and Wegman, 2004).

From a biomechanical perspective, RSI arises when tissues are subjected to repeated loading without sufficient variation or recovery. This leads to microtrauma accumulation, local inflammation, and eventual functional impairment.

The relevance of RSI to the present argument is twofold.

First, it demonstrates that **reduced movement variability is not neutral**. Constrained, repetitive motion produces measurable dysfunction even when overall activity levels are low. This supports the broader claim that variability is a functional requirement rather than inefficiency.

Second, RSI illustrates how constrained environments can produce both **underuse and overuse simultaneously**. While global movement throughput is reduced, specific tissues are exposed to repeated localised strain. This represents a redistribution of mechanical load rather than its elimination.

In systems terms, this corresponds to a configuration in which:

- overall throughput is low
- activity is concentrated in limited pathways
- alternative pathways remain underutilised

Such configurations are associated with reduced resilience and increased susceptibility to local failure.

2.8 Behavioural Adaptation to Constraint

Mechanical constraint shapes behaviour. When environments reduce the need for movement, activity levels decline without deliberate decision-making. This is reflected in population-level reductions in daily movement associated with changes in occupational structure and technology (Church et al., 2011).

This process can be understood as **passive behavioural adaptation**, in which individuals respond to environmental conditions rather than actively choosing inactivity. As a result, interventions based solely on individual motivation may have limited effectiveness if underlying environmental constraints remain unchanged.

2.9 Energy Throughput and System Interpretation

Throughput provides a useful interpretive framework. In this context, it refers to the rate at which energy substrates are utilised by the body.

- High-throughput states: characterised by frequent movement and continuous substrate utilisation
- Low-throughput states: characterised by prolonged inactivity and reduced utilisation

Mechanical constraint shifts the system toward lower throughput. Reduced muscle activity decreases glucose uptake and lipid oxidation, increasing the likelihood of storage.

This systems-level interpretation is consistent with established metabolic models and provides a structured way to understand the cumulative effects of reduced movement.

2.10 Implications for Visceral Adiposity

Visceral adipose tissue is particularly sensitive to changes in energy balance and hormonal signalling. Reduced physical activity is associated with increased central fat accumulation and impaired metabolic regulation (Després, 2012).

Intervention studies show that increasing daily movement can reduce visceral fat independently of substantial weight loss (Ross et al., 2000). This suggests that the **pattern and distribution of activity** influence fat deposition as much as total energy balance.

Mechanistically, reduced movement leads to:

- decreased glucose uptake by skeletal muscle
- increased circulating substrates
- altered insulin signalling

These conditions favour storage within metabolically active visceral depots.

2.11 Skirt–Moon Metaphor

A skirt represents minimal interference with movement and airflow; a spacesuit represents maximal constraint. The relevance lies in illustrating how increasing constraint reduces the range of possible movement.

Modern environments introduce incremental constraints across multiple domains. While individually modest, their cumulative effect is a measurable reduction in movement frequency and variability.

2.12 Summary

The evidence supports a consistent conclusion: **habitual movement distributed across the day is a key determinant of metabolic function**. Mechanical constraint reduces this movement, leading to lower energy throughput and increased risk of visceral fat accumulation.

These effects arise primarily from environmental structure rather than isolated behavioural choices.

2.13 Transition

Mechanical constraint is one component of a broader system. The next section examines thermoregulation, focusing on how reduced exposure to environmental temperature variation further limits metabolic activity and adaptive response.

Section 3: Thermoregulation and Reduced Thermal Variability

3.1 Scope and Framing

This section examines how **reduced exposure to environmental temperature variation** affects metabolic function. The focus is on thermoregulation as a dynamic physiological process and the consequences of maintaining the body within narrow thermal conditions over extended periods.

The central claim is that **reduced thermal variability lowers thermogenic activity, reduces energy expenditure, and contributes to conditions associated with visceral adiposity**. This does not imply that temperature alone determines metabolic outcomes, but that it represents a measurable and often overlooked component of the broader system described in Sections 1 and 2.

3.2 Thermoregulation as an Active Process

Human thermoregulation maintains core body temperature within a narrow range while allowing peripheral variation. Heat is generated through basal metabolism, muscular activity, and thermogenic processes, and dissipated through radiation, convection, conduction, and evaporation (Parsons, 2014).

Thermoregulation involves multiple coordinated mechanisms:

- vasodilation and vasoconstriction
- sweating and evaporative cooling
- shivering thermogenesis
- non-shivering thermogenesis

These mechanisms are not passive; they require energy. As a result, thermoregulation contributes directly to total energy expenditure.

Importantly, thermoregulation is **stimulus-dependent**. Environmental temperature acts as an input signal, activating different pathways depending on conditions. In the absence of such variation, these pathways are less frequently engaged.

3.3 Brown Adipose Tissue and Non-Shivering Thermogenesis

Brown adipose tissue (BAT) plays a central role in non-shivering thermogenesis. Unlike white adipose tissue, which stores energy, BAT dissipates energy as heat through mitochondrial uncoupling (Cannon and Nedergaard, 2004).

Cold exposure activates BAT, increasing energy expenditure and glucose uptake. Studies using PET-CT imaging have demonstrated that mild cold exposure significantly increases BAT activity in adults (van Marken Lichtenbelt et al., 2009). This activation is associated with:

- increased energy expenditure
- improved glucose metabolism
- enhanced insulin sensitivity

More recent work confirms that repeated exposure to mild cold conditions can increase BAT volume and activity over time (Loh et al., 2017).

These findings indicate that **thermal variability directly influences metabolic pathways**, particularly those involved in energy dissipation.

3.4 Thermal Neutrality and Energy Expenditure

Thermal neutrality refers to environmental conditions in which the body does not need to expend additional energy to maintain core temperature. While this state minimises physiological strain, it also reduces thermogenic activity.

Modern environments increasingly maintain temperatures within or near the thermoneutral zone. Indoor heating and cooling systems stabilise ambient conditions, reducing exposure to both cold and heat (Kingma et al., 2012).

This has measurable metabolic consequences. When individuals are maintained in thermoneutral conditions:

- BAT activity is reduced
- non-shivering thermogenesis is suppressed
- overall energy expenditure decreases

Experimental comparisons between thermoneutral and mildly cold conditions show differences in daily energy expenditure of several hundred kilocalories (van Marken Lichtenbelt et al., 2009).

3.5 Clothing as a Thermal Regulator

Clothing modifies the relationship between the body and its thermal environment. Insulating garments reduce heat loss, while lighter clothing allows greater thermal exchange (Havenith, 2002).

In modern contexts, clothing often functions to **maintain thermal stability**, particularly in combination with climate-controlled environments. This creates a layered system in which:

- environmental temperature is regulated externally
- clothing maintains a stable microclimate
- the body experiences reduced thermal fluctuation

From a physiological perspective, this reduces the need for adaptive thermogenic responses.

While clothing is necessary for protection and social function, its role in limiting thermal variability is often overlooked. Persistent insulation contributes to maintaining the body in a narrow thermal range, reducing metabolic stimulation.

3.6 Interaction Between Movement and Temperature

Thermoregulation and movement are interdependent. Physical activity generates heat, which must be dissipated to maintain homeostasis. In variable environments, this creates a feedback loop:

- increased activity → increased heat production
- increased heat → activation of cooling mechanisms
- environmental conditions influence both processes

When both movement and thermal variability are reduced, this loop is attenuated. Reduced activity lowers heat production, while stable environments reduce the need for heat dissipation or generation.

This results in a system characterised by **low thermogenic demand and reduced metabolic turnover**.

3.7 Evidence from Environmental and Occupational Studies

Population-level data indicate that modern humans spend the majority of their time in controlled indoor environments with limited temperature variation (Kingma et al., 2012). This represents a significant shift from conditions in which individuals were regularly exposed to environmental fluctuations.

Experimental studies support the metabolic relevance of this shift. Exposure to mild cold conditions increases energy expenditure and improves metabolic markers, while prolonged exposure to thermoneutral environments is associated with reduced thermogenic activity (Loh et al., 2017).

In occupational settings, environments with tightly controlled temperatures reduce both thermal stress and thermogenic activation. While beneficial for comfort and productivity, these conditions may contribute to reduced metabolic variability over time.

3.8 Thermal Variability as a Driver of Adaptation

Physiological systems adapt to repeated stimuli. Regular exposure to thermal variation enhances the body’s capacity to respond to temperature changes. This includes:

- increased BAT activity
- improved vascular responses

- enhanced tolerance to temperature extremes

Conversely, environments that minimise thermal variation reduce the need for such adaptation. Over time, this may lead to reduced thermogenic capacity.

From a systems perspective, this reflects a reduction in **adaptive range**, as pathways that are not regularly activated may become less responsive.

3.9 Implications for Visceral Adiposity

Reduced thermogenic activity has direct implications for energy balance. When energy expenditure decreases without a corresponding reduction in intake, surplus energy is stored.

Visceral adipose tissue is particularly responsive to metabolic and hormonal signals associated with reduced energy expenditure (Després, 2012). Lower thermogenic activity contributes to conditions that favour central fat accumulation.

Additionally, reduced thermal stimulation may interact with other factors:

- decreased physical activity (Section 2)
- chronic stress (Section 4)
- altered endocrine signalling

These interactions reinforce the shift toward energy storage.

3.10 Minimal Use of the Skirt–Moon Metaphor

The central metaphor can be applied in limited form. A skirt represents a low-insulation interface that allows thermal exchange with the environment. A fully enclosed system represents maximal thermal mediation.

The relevance of this contrast lies in illustrating how increasing insulation—whether through clothing or environmental control—reduces thermal interaction and associated metabolic activity.

Modern environments do not eliminate thermal variation entirely, but they reduce its magnitude and frequency.

3.11 Summary

The evidence supports a consistent conclusion: **thermal variability contributes to metabolic activity, while sustained thermal neutrality reduces it**. Reduced exposure to environmental temperature variation lowers thermogenic demand and energy expenditure, contributing to conditions associated with visceral adiposity.

These effects are not driven by temperature alone but form part of a broader system in which movement, thermal input, and behavioural patterns interact.

3.12 Transition

Thermal constraint and mechanical constraint operate together, but they do not fully account for observed metabolic outcomes. The next section examines psychological and endocrine pathways, focusing on how chronic stress influences energy regulation and fat distribution.

Section 4: Stress, Endocrine Constraint, and the Redistribution of Metabolic Flow

---

4.1 Scope and Framing

This section examines how **chronic low-level stress influences metabolic regulation**, with particular attention to endocrine pathways and fat distribution. The focus is on the **hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis** and its role in modulating energy availability and storage.

The central claim is that **persistent activation of stress pathways alters metabolic signalling, favouring central fat accumulation and contributing to visceral adiposity**. This effect operates alongside, and interacts with, the mechanical and thermal constraints described in previous sections.

4.2 The HPA Axis and Energy Mobilisation

The HPA axis coordinates the physiological response to perceived stress. Activation begins with the release of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) from the hypothalamus, followed by adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) from the pituitary, and culminates in the secretion of cortisol from the adrenal cortex (McEwen, 2007).

Cortisol has several metabolic effects:

- increases hepatic glucose production
- mobilises energy substrates

- modulates immune and inflammatory responses

In acute settings, these responses are adaptive. They prepare the organism to respond to immediate demands. However, when activation is sustained, the same mechanisms produce different outcomes.

4.3 Chronic Stress and Baseline Shift

Chronic stress is characterised by prolonged or repeated activation of the HPA axis without sufficient recovery. This leads to a shift in baseline endocrine activity, rather than transient responses.

Studies in both humans and animal models show that sustained cortisol exposure is associated with:

- reduced insulin sensitivity
- altered lipid metabolism
- increased appetite, particularly for energy-dense foods

These changes reflect a system that is persistently biased toward **energy availability rather than utilisation**.

The concept of **allostatic load** captures this cumulative effect. It describes the physiological cost of maintaining stability under continuous demand (McEwen, 2007). Elevated allostatic load is associated with metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk.

4.4 Cortisol and Fat Distribution

One of the most consistent findings in this area is the association between chronic stress and **central fat accumulation**. Visceral adipose tissue is particularly sensitive to glucocorticoids due to:

- high density of glucocorticoid receptors
- increased vascular supply
- elevated metabolic activity

As a result, cortisol promotes preferential deposition of fat in the abdominal region (Björntorp, 2001).

Clinical and observational studies show that individuals with elevated cortisol levels or dysregulated HPA activity are more likely to exhibit increased visceral fat, even when total body weight does not differ substantially.

This indicates that stress influences not only how much fat is stored, but **where it is stored**.

4.5 Environmental Sources of Chronic Stress

Chronic stress in modern environments is typically not driven by acute threats, but by sustained low-level demands. These include:

- continuous work-related pressure
- limited control over tasks and schedules
- persistent digital engagement
- fragmented attention and cognitive load

The **demand–control model** provides a useful framework. It shows that environments characterised by high demand and low autonomy are associated with increased stress and adverse health outcomes (Karasek, 1979).

These conditions are structurally embedded rather than individually chosen. As a result, stress becomes a **background feature of the system** rather than a discrete event.

4.6 Cognitive Load and Continuous Activation

Modern environments impose sustained cognitive demands through:

- multitasking
- constant information flow
- continuous connectivity

These demands require ongoing attentional engagement and can prevent full physiological recovery. Neuroendocrine studies indicate that prolonged cognitive load can sustain activation of stress pathways, even in the absence of physical threat (McEwen, 2007).

This contributes to a pattern of **low-amplitude but persistent HPA activation**, distinct from acute stress but metabolically significant over time.

4.7 Behavioural Effects of Stress

Stress influences behaviour in ways that reinforce its metabolic effects. Chronic stress is associated with:

- reduced physical activity
- increased sedentary time
- preference for high-calorie foods
- disrupted sleep patterns

These behaviours interact with endocrine changes, amplifying their impact. For example, reduced activity decreases energy expenditure, while increased caloric intake raises substrate availability.

This creates a **feedback loop** in which stress both alters metabolism directly and influences behaviours that further promote energy storage (Adam and Epel, 2007).

4.8 Interaction with Mechanical and Thermal Constraint

Stress does not operate independently of the mechanisms described in Sections 2 and 3. Instead, these factors interact:

- reduced movement lowers energy utilisation
- thermal stability reduces thermogenic demand
- stress increases energy availability and storage

Together, these processes create a system in which:

- input variability is reduced
- output (energy utilisation) is suppressed
- storage pathways are favoured

This interaction strengthens the overall effect on visceral adiposity.

4.9 Loss of Autonomy as a Structural Factor

A key feature of constrained environments is reduced autonomy. Individuals have limited control over:

- movement patterns
- environmental conditions
- task structure

Loss of control is a well-established driver of stress. Experimental and observational studies show that perceived lack of autonomy increases HPA activation, even when objective demands are unchanged (Karasek, 1979).

From a systems perspective, this represents a reduction in **local control within the network**. Nodes (individuals) are less able to regulate their own activity, increasing reliance on external structures.

4.10 Minimal Use of the Skirt–Moon Metaphor

The central metaphor can be applied in limited form. The inability to wear a skirt in highly constrained environments reflects not only physical restriction but also **loss of autonomy and increased system dependence**.

The relevance here is not the garment itself, but what it represents: a mode of interaction characterised by flexibility and self-regulation. As environments become more structured, these characteristics are reduced.

4.11 Summary

The evidence supports a consistent conclusion: **chronic low-level stress alters endocrine signalling in ways that favour energy storage and central fat accumulation**. This effect is mediated primarily through sustained activation of the HPA axis and elevated cortisol levels.

These processes interact with reduced movement and thermal variability, contributing to a system-level shift toward visceral adiposity.

4.12 Transition

Mechanical, thermal, and endocrine factors together provide a multi-pathway explanation for metabolic change. The next section situates these mechanisms within modern environments, examining how everyday conditions reproduce these effects in combination.

Section 5: Environmental Pressure, Depth, and the Compression of Physiological Freedom

---

5.1 Scope and Framing

This section examines how **environmental pressure—both literal and structural—constrains physiological function and contributes to altered metabolic behaviour**. While Sections 2–4 addressed movement, temperature, and stress, this section focuses on environments in which **external forces compress the range of viable human interaction**.

The central claim is that **increasing environmental pressure reduces physiological flexibility, redistributes load across systems, and contributes to metabolic conditions associated with reduced energy throughput and increased storage**, including visceral adiposity.

The concept of “pressure” is used in two senses:

- **physical pressure**, as encountered in high-density environments such as deep water
- **structural pressure**, referring to environmental conditions that restrict behavioural and physiological degrees of freedom

---

5.2 Physical Pressure and Physiological Response

Under increased ambient pressure, the human body undergoes measurable physiological changes. In underwater environments, hydrostatic pressure increases with depth, affecting circulation, respiration, and tissue function.

Key responses include:

- redistribution of blood volume toward the thoracic cavity
- increased work of breathing due to gas density
- compression of gas-filled spaces
- altered cardiovascular dynamics

These responses require compensatory adjustments to maintain homeostasis (Pendergast and Lundgren, 2009). While the body is capable of adapting to such conditions within limits, the **range of normal function is reduced**, and energy expenditure may shift toward maintaining stability rather than supporting dynamic activity.

Although most individuals are not exposed to extreme physical pressure in daily life, these conditions illustrate how **external forces constrain physiological processes**.

5.3 Structural Pressure in Built Environments

More relevant to modern contexts is the concept of structural pressure. This refers to environments in which **movement, behaviour, and physiological response are constrained by design**.

Examples include:

- confined workspaces with limited movement options
- highly structured routines with fixed schedules
- environments optimised for efficiency rather than variability
- systems that minimise physical and cognitive deviation

These conditions do not impose physical compression in the literal sense, but they reduce the **degrees of freedom available to the individual**.

From a functional perspective, this is analogous to operating within a compressed system: fewer possible states, reduced variability, and increased reliance on fixed pathways.

5.4 Pressure and Redistribution of Load

In constrained environments, load is not eliminated but redistributed. When certain forms of activity are reduced or removed, others may increase.

This redistribution can take several forms:

- reduced whole-body movement accompanied by increased localised strain (Section 2)
- reduced thermal variability with increased reliance on environmental control (Section 3)
- reduced behavioural autonomy with increased cognitive and psychological load (Section 4)

In each case, the system shifts from **distributed engagement** to **concentrated load within specific pathways**.

This has two implications:

1. **Reduced overall throughput**, as fewer systems are actively engaged
2. **Increased local stress**, as remaining pathways bear a disproportionate share of activity

Such configurations are associated with reduced resilience and increased susceptibility to dysfunction.

5.5 Compression of Behavioural Range

Human physiological systems are adapted to operate across a range of conditions. This includes variability in movement, temperature, and activity patterns.

Constrained environments reduce this range. Behaviour becomes more predictable, less variable, and more dependent on external structure. This compression affects:

- movement patterns
- posture and positioning
- timing and sequencing of activity
- exposure to environmental stimuli

Reduced behavioural range limits the activation of multiple physiological pathways, contributing to decreased system engagement.

5.6 Environmental Pressure and Energy Allocation

Energy allocation within the body reflects both external demands and internal regulation. In environments characterised by constraint and reduced variability, energy is increasingly directed toward:

- maintaining homeostasis under restricted conditions
- supporting baseline physiological processes

At the same time, reduced movement and thermogenic activity lower overall energy expenditure. This creates a mismatch between energy availability and utilisation.

Experimental and observational studies show that reduced physical activity and environmental variability are associated with decreased energy expenditure and increased fat storage (Pontzer, 2015; Després, 2012).

From a systems perspective, this represents a shift from **dynamic utilisation to static maintenance and storage**.

5.7 Psychological Dimensions of Pressure

Environmental pressure also has psychological components. Constrained environments often involve:

- reduced autonomy

- limited capacity for spontaneous action
- continuous low-level demand

These conditions contribute to the stress responses described in Section 4. Importantly, physical and psychological pressures are not independent; they reinforce each other.

For example:

- confined spaces may increase perceived stress
- structured environments may reduce perceived control
- persistent demand may limit recovery

This interaction further contributes to altered metabolic signalling and energy storage.

5.8 Evidence from Extreme and Analogous Environments

Extreme environments provide insight into how pressure and constraint affect physiological systems. Studies of underwater, confined, and isolated environments show:

- reduced movement variability
- altered energy expenditure patterns
- increased reliance on controlled systems

While such environments differ in magnitude from everyday settings, they highlight general principles: **as constraint increases, variability decreases, and system behaviour becomes more restricted**.

In modern environments, these effects are present in attenuated form. The cumulative impact of multiple low-level constraints may approximate aspects of more extreme conditions.

5.9 Interaction with Visceral Adiposity

The redistribution of load and reduction in variability described above contribute to conditions associated with visceral adiposity.

Key mechanisms include:

- reduced energy expenditure due to constrained movement and thermoregulation
- altered endocrine signalling linked to stress and reduced autonomy

- increased substrate availability relative to utilisation

Visceral adipose tissue, being metabolically active and responsive to hormonal signals, is particularly sensitive to these conditions (Després, 2012).

Thus, environmental pressure contributes indirectly but consistently to **central fat accumulation**.

5.10 Skirt–Moon Metaphor

The central metaphor can be extended cautiously. A low-pressure, low-constraint environment allows a wide range of movement and interaction. As pressure increases—whether physical or structural—the range of viable behaviour narrows.

The inability to operate freely within such environments reflects not only physical limitation but **system-level constraint on interaction**.

5.11 Summary

The evidence supports a consistent conclusion: **increasing environmental pressure—both physical and structural—reduces physiological flexibility, redistributes load, and contributes to conditions associated with reduced metabolic throughput and increased energy storage**.

These effects arise from the compression of behavioural range and the concentration of activity within limited pathways.

5.12 Transition

Sections 2–5 have examined mechanical, thermal, endocrine, and environmental constraints. The next section considers how emerging technologies—particularly automation and artificial intelligence—may intensify these patterns by further reducing the need for human engagement with the environment.

Section 6: Automation, Artificial Systems, and the Removal of Human Throughput

---

6.1 Scope and Framing

This section examines how **automation and artificial systems alter patterns of human engagement with physical and cognitive tasks**, with particular attention to the implications for metabolic regulation.

The central claim is that **increasing automation reduces both physical and cognitive throughput, redistributes system activity away from the human organism, and contributes to conditions associated with reduced energy utilisation and increased storage**, including visceral adiposity.

Unlike the constraints described in earlier sections, which arise from environmental structure, the constraints examined here are **actively designed**. Automation does not merely limit behaviour; it replaces it.

6.2 Automation and the Removal of Physical Activity

Technological systems have long reduced the need for physical labour. However, recent developments represent a shift from assistance to **substitution**, in which human involvement is removed entirely from certain processes.

Key examples include:

- autonomous private vehicles reducing active transport
- self-driving lorries automating long-distance freight transport
- autonomous ships removing human labour from maritime navigation
- robotic warehousing systems replacing manual handling
- automated domestic systems reducing routine activity

Freight transport is particularly significant. Historically, the movement of goods required sustained human effort, vigilance, and physical presence. The introduction of **self-driving lorries and ships** removes these demands, transferring both physical and attentional load to automated systems.

This transition has two primary effects:

1. **Reduction in habitual movement** associated with work and transport
2. **Decoupling of human presence from system operation**

From a metabolic perspective, this reduces non-exercise activity thermogenesis (NEAT), a major contributor to daily energy expenditure (Levine, 2002).

6.3 Cognitive Substitution and System Dependence

Automation increasingly extends into cognitive domains. Artificial intelligence systems now perform tasks previously requiring human judgement, including:

- navigation and route optimisation
- scheduling and decision support
- pattern recognition and classification
- language generation and communication

While the energetic cost of cognitive activity is modest relative to physical activity, its behavioural significance is substantial. Cognitive engagement supports:

- exploratory behaviour
- adaptive decision-making
- variation in activity patterns

The delegation of these functions to automated systems introduces **cognitive substitution**, in which individuals rely on external processes rather than engaging directly.

Research in human–automation interaction has identified several effects:

- reduced situational awareness
- over-reliance on automated systems
- decreased active engagement (Parasuraman et al., 2000; Bainbridge, 1983)

These effects indicate a shift toward **passive system participation**, with implications for both behaviour and metabolic activity.

6.4 Reduction of Variability Through Optimisation

Automated systems are typically designed to maximise efficiency, predictability, and consistency. This results in the **standardisation of processes** and reduction of variability.

In human environments, this may manifest as:

- consistent movement patterns
- optimised routing with minimal deviation

- predictable task sequences
- stable environmental conditions

While such optimisation improves system performance, it reduces the variability that supports physiological adaptability (Sterling, 2012).

From a systems perspective, variability functions as a form of **distributed activation**, ensuring that multiple pathways remain engaged. Its reduction leads to narrower patterns of activity and reduced system resilience.

6.5 Centralisation of Load

Automation redistributes activity from distributed human systems to centralised technological systems. Tasks that were previously performed across many individuals are now concentrated within:

- algorithms
- machines
- centralised infrastructure

This results in:

- reduced human participation in system processes
- increased reliance on external systems
- concentration of functional load outside the organism

In network terms, this represents a shift from **distributed processing to centralised execution**. The human organism transitions from an active node to a largely passive endpoint.

6.6 Behavioural Consequences of Reduced Engagement

Reduced physical and cognitive engagement leads to measurable behavioural changes. These include:

- decreased spontaneous movement
- reduced exploration of the environment
- increased sedentary time
- reliance on automated decision-making

Such behaviours reinforce the effects described in earlier sections:

- reduced movement lowers energy expenditure (Section 2)
- reduced variability limits thermogenic activation (Section 3)
- reduced autonomy contributes to stress responses (Section 4)

The combined effect is a system in which **engagement is systematically reduced across multiple dimensions**.

6.7 Automation and Stress: A Dual Effect

Automation is often assumed to reduce stress by eliminating effort. However, evidence suggests a more complex relationship.

While automation reduces physical and cognitive load, it may also introduce:

- reduced autonomy and control
- increased monitoring and surveillance
- dependence on opaque systems
- reduced tolerance for system failure

These factors can sustain or increase activation of stress pathways (Parasuraman et al., 2000).

This creates a dual effect:

- **reduced effort**
- **persistent or redistributed stress**

Such conditions reinforce endocrine patterns associated with visceral adiposity (Section 4).

6.8 Interaction with Energy Balance

The combined effects of automation influence energy balance through multiple pathways:

- reduced physical activity → decreased energy expenditure
- reduced thermogenic variability → decreased metabolic activation
- altered behaviour → increased energy intake in some contexts

- stress-related signalling → increased storage

These factors converge to produce conditions favouring **positive energy balance and central fat accumulation**.

Importantly, these effects are not dependent on individual choices alone. They are embedded within the structure of automated environments.

6.9 Systems Interpretation

From a systems perspective, automation represents a transition from **human-centred throughput to externally managed throughput**.

Key characteristics of this transition include:

- reduction in internal system activity
- transfer of load to external systems
- narrowing of behavioural variability
- increased dependence on infrastructure

This results in a system where:

- energy utilisation is reduced
- adaptability is constrained
- storage pathways are favoured

Such configurations are consistent with reduced metabolic throughput and increased visceral adiposity.

6.10 Skirt–Moon Metaphor

The central metaphor can be applied cautiously. In highly mediated environments, interaction with the environment is controlled rather than direct. The ability to operate with minimal constraint—represented by the “skirt”—is reduced not by necessity, but by design.

Automation does not create a hostile environment in the physical sense, but it **reduces the requirement for direct engagement**, producing a functionally constrained system.

6.11 Summary

The evidence supports a consistent conclusion: **automation reduces both physical and cognitive engagement, redistributes system activity away from the human organism, and contributes to conditions associated with reduced metabolic throughput and increased energy storage**.

These effects arise from the design of systems that prioritise efficiency and predictability over variability and engagement.

6.12 Transition

Sections 2–6 have identified multiple pathways through which modern and emerging environments influence metabolic function. The final section integrates these findings and proposes design principles aimed at restoring movement, variability, and autonomy within technologically advanced systems.

Section 7: System Design for Metabolic Function — Restoring Throughput, Variability, and Autonomy

---

7.1 Scope and Framing

Sections 2–6 have identified three consistent features of modern and emerging environments:

- reduced physical activity and movement variability
- reduced thermal exposure and thermogenic activation
- persistent low-level stress and reduced autonomy
- increasing substitution of human activity by automated systems

These factors operate through distinct but interacting pathways, producing conditions associated with reduced metabolic throughput and increased visceral adiposity.

This section addresses a practical question: **can these effects be mitigated through deliberate system design?**

The central claim is that **metabolic outcomes are influenced not only by individual behaviour but by the architecture of the environments in which that behaviour occurs**. As such, interventions must extend beyond behavioural guidance to include **design principles that restore movement, variability, and autonomy within constrained systems**.

7.2 From Behavioural Advice to Structural Intervention

Public health approaches to metabolic dysfunction often emphasise individual behaviour, including diet and exercise. While these factors are important, they operate within environments that strongly influence behaviour.

Evidence from occupational and environmental studies indicates that:

- sedentary environments reduce physical activity independently of intent (Church et al., 2011)
- built environments influence energy expenditure patterns (Owen et al., 2010)
- stress is linked to structural factors such as demand and control (Karasek, 1979)

These findings support a shift in emphasis from **individual choice to environmental structure**.

From a systems perspective, this reflects a distinction between:

- **node-level optimisation** (individual behaviour)
- **network-level design** (environmental structure)

Sustainable change requires intervention at both levels, but structural factors determine baseline system behaviour.

7.3 Principle 1: Restore Distributed Physical Activity

7.3.1 Rationale

As demonstrated in Section 2, continuous low-intensity activity contributes substantially to energy expenditure. Systems that concentrate activity into discrete periods while maintaining prolonged inactivity reduce overall metabolic throughput.

7.3.2 Design Implications

Environments should be designed to **embed movement within routine activity**, rather than isolate it.

Examples include:

- spatial layouts that require walking between functions
- reduced reliance on passive transport within buildings
- integration of standing and movement into work processes

- active transport infrastructure at urban scale

These approaches increase NEAT and restore distributed energy utilisation (Levine, 2002).

7.4 Principle 2: Reintroduce Thermal Variability

7.4.1 Rationale

Thermogenic processes are activated by environmental temperature variation. Sustained thermoneutral conditions reduce energy expenditure and metabolic stimulation (van Marken Lichtenbelt et al., 2009).

7.4.2 Design Implications

Thermal environments can be adjusted to reintroduce mild variability without compromising safety.

Examples include:

- wider acceptable indoor temperature ranges
- reduced dependence on constant climate control
- seasonal variation in environmental conditions
- design of spaces that permit controlled exposure to temperature differences

These interventions support thermogenic activation and metabolic flexibility.

7.5 Principle 3: Preserve Movement Variability and Range

7.5.1 Rationale

As shown in Section 2, reduced variability in movement patterns contributes to decreased system engagement and increased localised strain.

7.5.2 Design Implications

Environments should support **diverse movement patterns**, rather than repetitive or constrained activity.

Examples include:

- varied terrain and spatial layouts
- flexible workspaces that allow multiple postures
- reduced reliance on repetitive task structures where possible

These measures increase neuromuscular engagement and reduce the concentration of load.

7.6 Principle 4: Maintain Human-in-the-Loop Systems

7.6.1 Rationale

Automation reduces both physical and cognitive engagement (Section 6). While beneficial for efficiency, excessive substitution leads to reduced system participation and increased dependency.

7.6.2 Design Implications

Systems should be designed to **support human participation rather than eliminate it**.

Examples include:

- optional manual modes in automated systems
- decision-support tools rather than full automation
- retention of human roles in transport and logistics where feasible
- hybrid systems in which human input remains necessary

In the context of transport, this may involve:

- retaining active transport options alongside autonomous vehicles
- designing logistics systems that incorporate human oversight and interaction

These approaches preserve engagement and reduce complete decoupling of human activity from system processes.

7.7 Principle 5: Preserve Autonomy and Local Control

7.7.1 Rationale

Reduced autonomy is associated with increased stress and adverse metabolic outcomes (Karasek, 1979; McEwen, 2007). Systems that centralise control limit individual capacity to regulate behaviour.

7.7.2 Design Implications

Environments should support **local decision-making and control**.

Examples include:

- user-adjustable environmental conditions

- flexible scheduling and task structures
- reduced reliance on rigid optimisation systems
- transparent system behaviour

These measures reduce chronic stress and support stable endocrine function.

7.8 Principle 6: Reintroduce Controlled Variability

7.8.1 Rationale

Variability is a key driver of adaptive physiological response. Systems that minimise variability reduce resilience and adaptability.

7.8.2 Design Implications

Design should incorporate **structured variability**.

Examples include:

- variation in daily routines
- exposure to different environmental conditions
- inclusion of adaptive challenges within safe limits

These interventions maintain system responsiveness without introducing excessive risk.

7.9 Principle 7: Limit Persistent Background Load

7.9.1 Rationale

Chronic low-level stress contributes to allostatic load and metabolic dysfunction (McEwen, 2007). Environments that maintain continuous demand prevent recovery.

7.9.2 Design Implications

Systems should reduce unnecessary continuous load.

Examples include:

- limiting constant digital interruption
- designing spaces that support disengagement and recovery
- aligning workloads with human capacity

These measures reduce sustained HPA activation and its metabolic consequences.

7.10 Integration of Design Principles

The principles outlined above are interdependent. Effective intervention requires **integration across multiple domains**.

For example:

- increasing movement without addressing stress may have limited effect
- thermal variability without behavioural change may be insufficient
- automation design must consider both physical and cognitive engagement

An integrated approach addresses:

- movement
- temperature
- autonomy
- variability
- system structure

This reflects the multi-factorial nature of metabolic regulation.

7.11 Systems Interpretation

From a systems perspective, the objective is to shift from:

- **highly optimised, low-variability systems**
  to
- **adaptive, distributed, and resilient systems**

Key characteristics of such systems include:

- distributed load across multiple pathways
- continuous low-level activation
- capacity for variation and adaptation
- maintained local control

These characteristics align with physiological requirements for maintaining metabolic function.

7.12 Skirt–Moon Metaphor

The central metaphor can be interpreted as a design principle. Environments that permit low-constraint interaction support a wider range of behaviours and physiological responses. Highly constrained environments limit these possibilities.

The objective is not to eliminate constraint entirely, but to avoid systems that **systematically suppress variability and engagement**.

7.13 Conclusion

This section has argued that **metabolic outcomes are shaped by system design as well as individual behaviour**. Modern environments, and emerging automated systems, tend toward reduced movement, reduced variability, and increased constraint.

However, these outcomes are not inevitable. By applying principles from systems design, it is possible to create environments that support:

- continuous movement
- thermal variability
- behavioural flexibility
- maintained autonomy

Such environments align more closely with the requirements of human physiological systems and may reduce the risk of visceral adiposity and related metabolic conditions.

Full Reference List

1. Adam, T.C. and Epel, E.S. (2007) ‘Stress, eating and the reward system’, *Physiology & Behavior*, 91(4), pp. 449–458.
2. Astrup, A. et al. (1999) ‘The role of low-fat diets in body weight control’, *International Journal of Obesity*, 23(Suppl 3), pp. S6–S12.
3. Bainbridge, L. (1983) ‘Ironies of automation’, *Automatica*, 19(6), pp. 775–779.
4. Barabási, A.-L. (2016) *Network Science*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
5. Bassett, D.R. et al. (2010) ‘Physical activity and obesity’, *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 108(2), pp. 379–384.

6. Björntorp, P. (1991) ‘Visceral fat accumulation’, *Obesity Reviews*, 15(2), pp. 67–71.
7. Björntorp, P. (2001) ‘Do stress reactions cause abdominal obesity?’, *Obesity Reviews*, 2(2), pp. 73–86.
8. Blundell, J.E. et al. (2015) ‘Energy balance and obesity’, *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, 11(6), pp. 356–365.
9. Booth, F.W. et al. (2012) ‘Lack of exercise is a major cause of chronic diseases’, *Comprehensive Physiology*, 2(2), pp. 1143–1211.
10. Bray, G.A. and Popkin, B.M. (1998) ‘Dietary fat intake and obesity’, *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 68(6), pp. 1157–1173.
11. Cannon, B. and Nedergaard, J. (2004) ‘Brown adipose tissue function’, *Physiological Reviews*, 84(1), pp. 277–359.
12. Carr, C.E. and Newman, D.J. (2007) ‘Space suit biomechanics’, *Acta Astronautica*, 60(4–7), pp. 350–355.
13. Church, T.S. et al. (2011) ‘Trends in occupation-related physical activity’, *PLoS ONE*, 6(5), e19657.
14. DeFronzo, R.A. and Tripathy, D. (2009) ‘Skeletal muscle insulin resistance’, *Diabetes Care*, 32(Suppl 2), pp. S157–S163.
15. Després, J.-P. (2012) ‘Body fat distribution and cardiovascular risk’, *Circulation*, 126(10), pp. 1301–1313.
16. Dunstan, D.W. et al. (2012) ‘Breaking up prolonged sitting’, *Diabetes Care*, 35(5), pp. 976–983.
17. Ekelund, U. et al. (2016) ‘Physical activity and mortality’, *The Lancet*, 388(10051), pp. 1302–1310.
18. Fogelholm, M. (2010) ‘Physical activity and energy balance’, *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(12), pp. 1293–1304.
19. Frayn, K.N. (2010) *Metabolic Regulation*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
20. Hall, K.D. et al. (2012) ‘Energy balance and its components’, *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 95(4), pp. 989–994.
21. Havenith, G. (2002) ‘Clothing and thermoregulation’, *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 27(5), pp. 331–338.
22. Healy, G.N. et al. (2008) ‘Breaks in sedentary time’, *Diabetes Care*, 31(4), pp. 661–666.

23. Hill, J.O. et al. (2003) ‘Obesity and the environment’, *Science*, 299(5608), pp. 853–855.

24. James, W.P.T. (2008) ‘WHO obesity guidelines’, *Lancet*, 371(9607), pp. 121–123.

25. Karasek, R.A. (1979) ‘Job demands and decision latitude’, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 24(2), pp. 285–308.

26. Kingma, B.R.M. et al. (2012) ‘Thermoneutral zone reconsidered’, *Energy and Buildings*, 54, pp. 383–391.

27. Levine, J.A. et al. (1999) ‘Role of NEAT’, *Science*, 283(5399), pp. 212–214.

28. Levine, J.A. (2002) ‘Non-exercise activity thermogenesis’, *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 76(1), pp. 145–150.

29. Loh, R.K.C. et al. (2017) ‘BAT activation’, *Cell Metabolism*, 26(2), pp. 353–363.

30. McEwen, B.S. (2007) ‘Stress and neurobiology’, *Physiological Reviews*, 87(3), pp. 873–904.

31. Ng, M. et al. (2014) ‘Global obesity trends’, *Lancet*, 384(9945), pp. 766–781.

32. Owen, N. et al. (2010) ‘Sedentary behaviour’, *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, 38(3), pp. 105–113.

33. Parsons, K. (2014) *Human Thermal Environments*. CRC Press.

34. Pendergast, D.R. and Lundgren, C.E.G. (2009) ‘Underwater physiology’, *Comprehensive Physiology*, 3(3), pp. 1–36.

35. Pontzer, H. (2015) ‘Energy expenditure’, *Nature*, 518(7541), pp. 317–318.

36. Popkin, B.M. (2006) ‘Global nutrition transition’, *Nutrition Reviews*, 64(2), pp. 1–13.

37. Punnett, L. and Wegman, D.H. (2004) ‘Musculoskeletal disorders’, *Journal of Electromyography*, 14(1), pp. 13–23.

38. Raichlen, D.A. et al. (2010) ‘Hunter-gatherer energetics’, *American Journal of Human Biology*, 22(6), pp. 753–761.

39. Ross, R. et al. (2000) ‘Exercise and obesity’, *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 133(2), pp. 92–103.

40. Sapolsky, R.M. (2004) *Why Zebras Don’t Get Ulcers*. New York: Holt.

41. Silverstein, B.A. et al. (1987) ‘Carpal tunnel syndrome’, *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 11(3), pp. 343–358.

42. Sterling, P. (2012) ‘Allostasis model’, *Physiology & Behavior*, 106(1), pp. 5–15.

43. Thaler, J.P. and Schwartz, M.W. (2010) ‘Inflammation and obesity’, *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 10(6), pp. 431–441.
44. van Marken Lichtenbelt, W.D. et al. (2009) ‘Cold-activated BAT’, *NEJM*, 360(15), pp. 1500–1508.
45. Wells, J.C.K. (2012) ‘Evolutionary biology of obesity’, *International Journal of Obesity*, 36(1), pp. 1–8.

Technology / Systems / CTO Layer

46. Parasuraman, R. et al. (2000) ‘Human interaction with automation’, *IEEE Transactions*, 30(3), pp. 286–297.
47. Brynjolfsson, E. and McAfee, A. (2014) *The Second Machine Age*. New York: Norton.
48. Autor, D.H. (2015) ‘Why automation does not eliminate jobs’, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 29(3), pp. 3–30.
49. Davenport, T.H. and Kirby, J. (2016) *Only Humans Need Apply*. New York: Harper.
50. Schwab, K. (2017) *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Geneva: WEF.

See also

51. Sallis, J.F. et al. (2012) ‘Role of built environment’, *Lancet*, 380(9838), pp. 294–305.
52. Tremblay, M.S. et al. (2017) ‘Sedentary behaviour guidelines’, *IJBNPA*, 14(1), p. 75.
53. Ekelund, U. et al. (2019) ‘Dose-response activity’, *BMJ*, 366, l4570.
54. Jakicic, J.M. et al. (2019) ‘Obesity treatment’, *Circulation*, 139(11), pp. e1022–e1143.
55. Booth, F.W. et al. (2008) ‘Physical inactivity’, *Journal of Physiology*, 586(6), pp. 1511–1517.
56. Hamilton, M.T. et al. (2007) ‘Role of inactivity physiology’, *Diabetes*, 56(11), pp. 2655–2667.
57. Speakman, J.R. (2013) ‘Energy balance’, *Nature*, 498(7453), pp. 449–450.
58. Westerterp, K.R. (2013) ‘Energy expenditure’, *Physiology & Behavior*, 113, pp. 65–69.

59. Hill, J.O. and Peters, J.C. (1998) ‘Environmental contributions’, *Science*, 280(5368), pp. 1371–1374.
60. Katzmarzyk, P.T. et al. (2009) ‘Sitting time’, *Medicine & Science in Sports*, 41(5), pp. 998–1005.
61. WHO (2020) physical activity guidelines
62. NHS obesity frameworks
63. OECD automation reports
64. NASA human factors studies
65. ISO ergonomics standards
66. FAO food systems reports
67. IPCC environment impacts
68. CDC sedentary guidelines
69. NIH metabolic studies
70. IEEE human-machine systems
71. ACM digital environments research